

1	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
	Name	General Information	Crime and Trial	Legal Status	Current Counsel	Reported Opinions	Significant Legal Issues	
2	Anderson, Justin	DOB: 03/21/1981 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Lafayette * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: 10/12/2000 * Date of Sentencing: 01/31/2002	Current Proceedings: Appealing circuit court's denial of post-conviction relief	Jeffrey Scott Harrelson	Anderson v. State, 163 S.W.3d 333 (Ark. 2004) (reversing the death sentence and remanding for new sentencing); Anderson v. State, 242 S.W.3d 229 (Ark. 2006), cert. denied, 551 U.S. 1133 (2007); Anderson v. State, 2010 WL 987046 (Ark. March 18, 2010) (ordering rebriefing before deciding on circuit court's denial of post-conviction relief).	On direct appeal: (1) whether defendant's statements to police were voluntary; (2) whether "other crimes" evidence was admissible during guilt phase of trial; (3) whether circuit court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion for change of venue; (4) whether trial court properly excused prospective juror for cause; (5) whether State's explanations for exercising peremptory challenges against African-American prospective jurors were race-neutral; (7) whether evidence supported determination that defendant was not "mentally retarded" and thus eligible for the death penalty; but (8) whether jury's decision to check section of form during sentencing phase, indicating that no evidence of mitigation was offered, was reversible error. On petition for post-conviction relief: (1) whether jury was prohibited from receiving and considering, during deliberations, audiotape of defendant's statement during police questioning; (2) whether any replaying of audiotape by jury was a critical stage of criminal proceedings.	
3	Collins, Kingrale	DOB: 11/15/1975 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Cross * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: 05/18/1996 * Date of Sentencing: 10/22/1997	Current Proceedings: On remand from Supreme Court for new Rule 37 motion, Case No. CR 05-71		Collins v. State, 991 S.W.3d 541 (Ark. 1999); Collins v. State, 2001 WL 285609 (Ark. March 22, 2001) (denying Collins's motion for a photocopy of appeal transcript at public's expense); Collins v. State, 2003 WL 21040160 (Ark. May 8, 2003) (denying Collins's motion for a photocopy of appeal transcript at public's expense); Collins v. State, 231 S.W.3d 717 (Ark. 2006) (reversing denial of post-conviction relief and remanding to the circuit court for the appointment of a Rule 37.5 qualified attorney and for Collins to file a verified petition for post-conviction relief that complies with Rule 37.5); Collins v. Arkansas, 2006 WL 1126946 (E.D. Ark. April 27, 2006) (dismissing habeas petition for failure to exhaust claims in state court).	On direct appeal: (1) whether that denial of motion for change of venue was abuse of discretion; (2) whether defendant effectively invoked his right to proceed pro se; and (3) whether prior criminal information and conviction judgment were admissible to establish prior-violent-felony aggravating circumstance. In post-conviction proceedings: (1) Appointment of a Rule 37.5 qualified attorney	
4	Coulter, Roger	DOB: 12/01/1959 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Ashley * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: 04/12/1989 * Date of Sentencing: 10/27/1989	Current Proceedings: Habeas petition pending in W.D. Ark.	Deb Czuba	Coulter v. State, 804 S.W.3d 348 (Ark.), cert. denied, 502 U.S. 829 (1991); Coulter v. State, 13 S.W.3d 171 (Ark. 2000) (belated appeal); Coulter v. State, 31 S.W.3d 826 (Ark. 2000) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Coulter v. State, 219 S.W.3d 122 (Ark. 2005) (denying federal defender's motion to appear on behalf of Coulter); Coulter v. State, 227 S.W.3d 904 (Ark.) (denying motion to recall the mandate), cert. denied, 549 U.S. 858 (2006); Coulter v. Arkansas, 549 U.S. 1072 (2006) (denying petition for rehearing).	In post-conviction proceedings: (1) whether trial counsel's failure to interview and call as mitigation witnesses two brothers of Mr. Coulter, who would have testified that he was repeatedly sexually abused as a child and had a history of mental illness, constituted ineffective assistance of counsel (2) whether counsel's failure to obtain serology report from state crime laboratory was ineffective assistance of counsel; and (3) whether counsel's failure to secure prior mental health records (defendant was previously diagnosed as paranoid schizophrenia) was ineffective assistance of counsel.	
5	Dansby, Joe L.	DOB: 09/28/1952 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Miller * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victims: White/White * Gender of Victims: Male/Female * Date of crime: 05/1992 * Date of Sentencing: 04/25/1997	Current Proceedings: Habeas petition pending in W.D. Ark. as of 7/20/10	Jennifer Horan Scott Braden Walter Lambert Bruce Eddy Julie Brain	Dansby v. State, 1 S.W.3d 403 (Ark. 1999); Dansby v. State, 37 S.W.3d 599 (Ark. 2001) (denying error coram nobis); Dansby v. State, 66 S.W.3d 585 (Ark. 2002) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief).	In post-conviction proceedings: (1) whether defendant's trial counsel was ineffective in permitting defendant to testify, when doing so led to the State's use of rebuttal testimony from his wife that he confessed his crimes to her. On petition for writ of error coram nobis: (1) Brady claim stemming from police officers' improper disposal of physical evidence from crime scene	
6	Dansby, Ray	DOB: 03/03/1960 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Union * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victims: Black/Black * Gender of Victims: Male/Female * Date of crime: 08/24/1992 * Date of Sentencing: 06/11/1993	Current proceedings: Appeal pending in 8th Cir.	Jennifer Horan E. Alvin Schay Sam Heuer	Dansby v. State, 893 S.W.2d 331 (Ark. 1995); Dansby v. State, 84 S.W.3d 857 (Ark. 2002) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Dansby v. Norris, 2008 WL 2859070 (W.D. Ark. July 22, 2008) (denying habeas corpus); Dansby v. Hobbs, 2010 WL 1856218 (W.D. Ark. April 30, 2010) (granting certificate of appealability in part and denying in part).	On habeas petition: (1) actual innocence claim (2) whether the defendant's constitutional rights were violated by trial court's refusal to permit defendant to impeach jailhouse informant evidence of bias and motive to lie (3) whether the defendant's constitutional rights were violated by the State's failure to disclose material exculpatory information, failure to correct testimony it knew to be false and other prosecutorial misconduct in connection with the testimony of jailhouse informant (4) whether trial counsel had a conflict of interest (5) whether defendant's constitutional rights were violated by improper comment on his post-arrest silence (6) whether defendant's trial counsel was ineffective for failing to impeach informant, failing to adequately present a self-defense theory, failing to present an expert witness on the reliability of child witnesses, and failing to object to comments about defendant's post-arrest silence, among other issues (7) claims of racial bias (8) fair cross-section claim	
7	Davis, Don W.	DOB: 11/23/1962 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Benton * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: 10/12/1990 * Date of Sentencing: 03/06/1992	Current Proceedings: Post certiorari; Petition for cert on Davis v. Hobbs, 130 S.Ct. 2432, filed Sept. 2, 2010	E. Schay Deborah Stallings	Davis v. State, 863 S.W.2d 259 (Ark. 1993), cert. denied, 511 U.S. 1026 (1994); Davis v. State, 44 S.W.3d 726 (Ark. 2001) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Davis v. State, 53 S.W.3d 46 (Ark. 2001) (dissolving stay of execution); Davis v. Norris, 423 F.3d 868 (8th Cir. 2005) (affirming denial of habeas corpus) Related Litigation: Stay of Execution based on Lethal Injection Claim by E.D. of Arkansas, June 26, 2006, Dansby v. Norris, Case No. 5:06-Cv-00110-SWW; Nooner v. Norris, 2006 WL 4958988 (E.D. Ark. June 19, 2006) (denying defendants' motion to dismiss); Nooner v. Norris, 491 F.3d 804 (8th Cir. 2007) (reversing the judgment of the district court, dissolving the preliminary injunction it imposed, and vacating the stay of execution it entered), cert. denied, 552 U.S. 1201 (2008); Nooner v. Norris, 2008 WL 3211290 (E.D. Ark. Aug. 05, 2008) (granting defendants' motion for summary judgment and dissolving Davis's stay of execution); Nooner v. Norris, 594 F.3d 592 (2010) (denying plaintiffs' challenge to the Arkansas lethal injection protocol); Davis v. Hobbs, 130 S.Ct. 2432 (2010) (dismissing certiorari).	On appeal to 8th Circuit: (1) Ake and ineffective assistance of counsel claim stemming from trial court's refusal to appoint an independent psychiatric examiner to assist with the penalty phase defense Collateral Litigation: (1) Lethal Injection Challenge	

1	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
	Name	General Information	Crime and Trial	Legal Status	Current Counsel	Reported Opinions	Significant Legal Issues	
8	Decay, Gregory	DOB: 07/11/1985 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Washington * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victims: White/White * Gender of Victims: Male/Female * Date of crime: April 2007 * Date of Sentencing: 04/24/2008	Current Proceedings: Post-conviction proceedings	Attorney: Julie Tollerson	Decay v. State, 2009 WL 3785695 (Ark. Nov. 12, 2009).	On direct appeal: (1) whether the trial court erred in failing to suppress defendant's statements to law enforcement and suppress his telephone calls made from detention center; (2) whether the circuit court improperly excused four jurors for cause; (3) whether the circuit court erred by refusing to submit a jury instruction regarding Decay's good character; (4) whether the circuit court erred in prohibiting testimony and evidence of the victims' lives during the guilt phase of trial (e.g. drug users); (5) whether the circuit court erred by allowing the State to obtain a photograph of Decay holding an assault rifle; (6) whether the circuit court erred by allowing the State to present two separate sentences of death for the same aggravating circumstance (only aggravator was multiple homicides); (7) whether the trial court erred in rejecting Decay's proffered jury instruction regarding victim-impact testimony; and (8) whether the circuit court failed to limit victim-impact testimony presented by the State.	
9	Dimas-Martinez, Erickson	Race: Latino Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Benton * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: Black * Gender of Victims: Male * Date of crime: 2007 * Date of Sentencing: 04/01/2010	Current Proceedings: Direct Appeal not yet filed as of 9/16/2010				
10	Echols, Damien Wayne	DOB: 12/11/1974 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Craighead * Number of counts: Three * Race of Victims: 3 White * Gender of Victims: 3 Male * Date of crime: 05/05/1993 * Date of Sentencing: 03/19/1994	Current proceedings: Habeas petition pending in E.D. Ark., currently held in abeyance pending exhaustion of state court remedies (specifically, pending DNA testing in state court)	Theresa Gibbons Donald Hogan Dennis Riordan Deborah Sallings	Echols v. State, 902 S.W.2d 781 (Ark. 1995) (remanding to determine competency of Echols to waive his claims pertaining to the death sentence on direct appeal); Echols v. State, 936 S.W.2d 509 (Ark. 1996), cert. denied, 520 U.S. 1244 (1997); Echols v. State, 42 S.W.3d 467 (Ark. 2001) (reversing denial of post-conviction relief in part and ordering trial court to specifically resolve all issues raised by Echols's petition); Echols v. State, 84 S.W.3d 424 (Ark. 2002) (granting stay of execution); Echols v. State, 120 S.W.3d 78 (Ark. 2003) (continuing stay of execution); Echols v. State, 125 S.W.3d 153 (Ark. 2003) (denying coram nobis); Echols v. State, 127 S.W.3d 486 (Ark. 2003) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Echols v. State, 201 S.W.3d 890 (Ark. 2005) (denying motion to recall the mandate).	In Echols v. State, 127 S.W.3d 486 (Ark. 2003): (1) whether trial counsel had actively represented conflicting interests, with respect to filming of documentary about petitioner's case In Echols v. State, 125 S.W.3d 153 (Ark. 2003) (coram nobis): (1) competency to stand trial (issue raised throughout appeals) In 936 S.W.2d 509 (Ark. 1996): (1) whether occult-related evidence, including expert's testimony that the murders in question were consistent with occultism and satanism, was admissible (2) whether trial court erred in excluding evidence suggesting that one of the state's witnesses could have been the murderer; the evidence included prior bad acts of the witness and the inclusion of his name as a possible suspect in a police laboratory report	
11	Ingram, Andrew R.	DOB: 10/16/1954 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Pulaski * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: 06/06/1997 * Date of Sentencing: 01/29/1999	Current proceedings: Habeas petition pending in E.D. Ark., currently held in abeyance pending exhaustion of Atkins claim	Bruce Eddy Dale Adams Julie Brain Scott Braden	Ingram v. State, 15 S.W.3d 678 (Ark. 2000), cert. denied, 531 U.S. 1081 (2001); Ingram v. State, 200 S.W.3d 367 (Ark. 2004) (denying motion to recall the mandate), cert. denied, 545 U.S. 1142 (2005);	On motion to recall the mandate: (1) whether defendant was entitled to have mandate affirming death sentence recalled based on subsequent issuance by United States Supreme Court of decision in Atkins v. Virginia, when issue was not raised at trial (2) whether defendant was entitled to leave to file belated petition for postconviction relief, when appointed counsel did not file a PCR	
12	Greene, Jack G.	DOB: 03/13/1955 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Johnson * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Male * Date of crime: 07/23/1991 * Date of Sentencing: 10/15/1992	Current proceedings: Habeas petition pending in E.D. Ark.	Jennifer Horan Josh Lee Scott Braden	Greene v. State, 878 S.W.2d 384 (Ark. 1994) (remanding for new sentencing phase); Greene v. State, 929 S.W.2d 157 (Ark. 1996) (denying motion to withdraw appeal); Greene v. State, 977 S.W.2d 192 (Ark. 1998) (vacating death sentence for competency hearing); State v. Greene, 1 S.W.3d 442 (Ark. 1999) (affirming circuit court's findings that Mr. Greene was competent to waive appellate and post-conviction remedies); Greene v. State, 37 S.W.3d 579 (Ark.) (affirming conviction and sentence following re-sentencing), cert. denied, 534 U.S. 858 (2001); Greene v. State, 146 S.W.3d 871 (Ark. 2004) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief).	(1) trial counsel's failure to investigate and present (at either phase of trial) evidence of mental illness, including frontal lobe damage, post-traumatic stress disorder and severe depression (2) Ake claim stemming from the denial of an adequate pretrial mental health evaluation (3) competency claim	
13	Howard, Tim (Timothy)	DOB: 05/06/1969 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Little River * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victims: White/White * Gender of Victims: Male/Female * Date of crime: 12/13/1997 * Date of Sentencing: 12/09/1999	Current proceedings: Habeas petition pending in E.D. Ark.; 7/10/09 Order staying and holding the case in abeyance and requiring that petitioner seek relief on his unexhausted claims in state court	Julie Brain Scott Braden	Howard v. State, 79 S.W.3d 273 (Ark.), cert. denied, 537 U.S. 1051 (2002); Howard v. State, 236 S.W.3d 508 (Ark. 2006) (remanding denial of post-conviction relief to afford defendant opportunity to file properly verified petition was appropriate); Howard v. State, 238 S.W.3d 24 (Ark. 2006) (opinion after remand, affirming denial of post-conviction relief).	On direct appeal: (1) whether the evidence was sufficient to support conviction of both murders (e.g. pregnancy, strangling of baby) On appeal from denial of post-conviction relief: (1) whether defense counsel rendered ineffective assistance by not asking for a hearing or moving for mistrial based on one juror's discussion with trial court about overhearing a police officer say that jury would "hang" defendant; (2) whether defense counsel's decision to keep prospective juror who stated that she had a thyroid condition and a sleeping disorder and that she might, because of her condition, go to sleep as she sat in jury box was a reasoned decision; (3) whether the state's comment during closing argument that victims' baby was "slowly using up [his] short supply of air" was a fair inference from evidence; (4) whether record supported a finding that defense counsel failed to investigate and develop mitigating evidence; (5) whether defense counsel's decision to not submit statutory mitigating circumstances to jury was a matter of trial strategy; and (6) whether any error in manner in which jury completed form presenting mitigating circumstances was harmless.	
14	Isom, Kenneth	DOB: 06/03/1967 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Drew * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Male * Date of crime: 04/02/2001 * Date of Sentencing: 03/28/2002	Current proceedings: Rule 37 post-conviction proceedings; CR 09-548	Jeffrey Marx Rosenzweig	Isom v. State, 148 S.W.3d 257 (Ark.), cert. denied, 125 S.Ct. 204 (2004).	(1) whether evidence was sufficient to support conclusion that defendant caused death of victim in course of committing several felonies, as necessary to support conviction for capital murder; (2) whether trial judge abused his discretion in refusing to allow defendant's counsel to attempt to rehabilitate prospective jurors by additional voir dire; (3) whether prosecutor's proffered explanation for peremptory strike of African-American juror was race-neutral; (4) whether defendant was entitled to instruction on ordinary robbery as lesser-included offense of aggravated robbery; and (5) whether the aggravating circumstance that capital murder had been committed for purpose of avoiding or preventing arrest was properly submitted to jury.	

1	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
	Name	General Information	Crime and Trial	Legal Status	Current Counsel	Reported Opinions	Significant Legal Issues	
15	Jackson, Alvin	DOB: 06/30/1970 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Jefferson * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Male * Date of crime: 07/30/1989 * Date of Sentencing: 06/20/1996	Current Proceedings: Remanded to E.D. Ark.	Jeffrey Marx Rosenzweig	Jackson v. State, 954 S.W.2d 894 (Ark. 1997); Jackson v. State, 37 S.W.3d 595 (Ark. 2001) (reversing dismissal of post-conviction relief as untimely); Jackson v. State, 105 S.W.3d 352 (Ark. 2003) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Jackson v. Norris, 468 F.Supp.2d 1030 (E.D. Ark. 2007) (denying habeas corpus); Jackson v. Norris, 256 Fed.Appx. 12 (8th Cir. 2007) (reversing and vacating the judgment of district court in light of Simpson v. Norris, 490 F.3d 1029 (8th Cir. 2007)), cert. denied, 128 S.Ct. 2907 (2008); Jackson v. Norris, 2010 WL 3155187 (8th Cir. Aug. 11, 2010) (reversing denial of habeas corpus)	On habeas: (1) Atkins claim of mental retardation On petition for post-conviction relief, 105 S.W.3d 352 (2003): (1) trial judge's instruction that jury "correct" its error in the penalty phase verdict forms, by essentially instructing jury to find that no mitigating circumstances existed (jury had erroneously checked box indicating that no mitigating evidence was even presented) (2) trial counsel's failure to call a penalty phase expert witness to describe Mr. Jackson's alleged organic brain dysfunction, as well as additional penalty phase witnesses to describe Mr. Jackson's personal and family history	
16	Johnson, Stacey E.	DOB: 11/26/1969 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Sevier * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: April 1 or 2, 1993 * Date of Sentencing: 09/23/1994	Current Proceedings: Post Certiorari	Jeffrey Marx Rosenzweig	Johnson v. State, 934 S.W.2d 179 (Ark. 1996) (reversing conviction and sentence and remanding for new trial), cert. denied, 520 U.S. 1242 (1997); Johnson v. State, 27 S.W.3d 405 (Ark. 2000) (affirming conviction and sentence after retrial), cert. denied, 532 U.S. 944 (2001); Johnson v. State, 157 S.W.3d 151 (Ark. 2004) (reversing the denial of Johnson's Act 1780 petition to "retest the negroid hairs introduced into evidence at his trial," and remanding for the circuit court to order DNA retesting of the hairs to determine if Mr. Johnson can be excluded as the source of those hairs), cert. denied, 125 S.Ct. 326 (2004); Johnson v. State, 235 S.W.3d 872 (Ark. 2006) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Johnson v. Norris, 2007 WL 2343883 (E.D. Ark. 2007) (denying habeas corpus); Johnson v. Norris, 537 F.3d 840 (2008) (affirming denial of habeas corpus), cert. denied, 129 S.Ct. 1334 (2009).	On appeal to the 8th Cir.: (1) whether trial court's decision to deny murder defendant's request for access to juvenile witness's psychotherapist records was contrary to federal law; (2) whether the denial of records constituted a Brady violation; (3) whether defense counsel was ineffective; (4) whether state court's determination that Arkansas capital murder aggravating circumstance was not unconstitutionally vague constituted an unreasonable application of federal law; and (5) whether petitioner's Sixth Amendment right to jury selected from fair cross-section of community was violated by change of venue. In Johnson v. State, 157 S.W.3d 151 (2004): (1) DNA evidence	
17	Jones, Jack H. Jr.	DOB: 08/10/1964 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: White * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: 06/06/1995 * Date of Sentencing: 04/17/1996	Current proceedings: Post Certiorari -> Order denying habeas corpus in E.D. Ark. on 04/13/2006; Mandate of 8th Cir. dismissing notice of appeal and denying certificate of appealability issued 08/14/2006	Jeffrey Marx Rosenzweig Dave Adams	Jones v. State, 947 S.W.2d 339 (Ark.), cert. denied, 522 U.S. 1002 (1997); Jones v. State, 8 S.W.3d 482 (Ark. 2000) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief).	Direct appeal: (1) inconsistent sentencing-phase verdict forms; on one form, jury stated that certain mitigating circumstances were believed to exist by some but not all jurors, and, on another form, jury indicated that no evidence was presented in support of such mitigating circumstances (specifically (i) whether Mr. Jones suffered from the mental disease or defect of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, (ii) whether, despite his efforts, Mr. Jones was repeatedly misdiagnosed and treated with inappropriate medications, and (iii) whether Mr. Jones' parents were often inconsistent in disciplining their children.) (2) possible due process claim under Hicks v. Oklahoma stemming from state supreme court's harmless error review of the inconsistent verdict form, in light of statute prescribing harmless error review when jury erroneously finds aggravating circumstances (and arguably limiting forbidding such review beyond those circumstances) In post-conviction proceedings: (1) sufficiency of evidence to support aggravating circumstances	
18	Jones, Larry	DOB: 01/13/1959 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Crittenden * Number of counts: Three * Gender of Victims: 1 Female/2 Male * Date of crime: 04/10/1998 * Date of Sentencing: 02/16/1999		Bart E. Ziegenhorn, Deputy Public Defender	Jones v. State, 10 S.W.3d 449 (Ark. 2000).	On direct appeal: (1) whether probative value of autopsy report, showing victim with cocaine in her bloodstream, was outweighed by its prejudicial effect; (2) whether the evidence supported a finding of the aggravating circumstance that the murders were committed for the purpose of avoiding or preventing arrest; and (3) whether the prosecutor's comment that defendant did not show any remorse was proper.	
19	Kemp, Timothy W.	DOB: 08/04/1960 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Pulaski * Number of counts: Four * Race of Victims: All White * Gender of Victims: 1 Female/3 Male * Date of crime: 10/04/1993 * Date of Sentencing: 12/02/1994	Current proceedings: Habeas petition pending in E.D. Ark. and held in abeyance pending exhaustion of state remedies; Second Rule 37 motion dismissed without prejudice	Julie Brain Scott Braden Bruce Eddy	Kemp v. State, 919 S.W.2d 943 (Ark.), cert. denied, 519 U.S. 982 (1996) (affirming conviction and sentence as to one count, and affirming but reversing sentence and remanding as to remaining three counts); Kemp v. State, 983 S.W.2d 383 (Ark. 1998) (affirming death sentence on three remaining counts), cert. denied, 526 U.S. 1073 (1999); Kemp v. State, 60 S.W.3d 404 (Ark. 2001) (reversing denial of post-conviction relief); Kemp v. State, 74 S.W.3d 224 (Ark. 2002) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief after remand); Kemp v. State, 2009 WL 4876473 (Ark. Dec. 17, 2009) (holding that a petitioner must ask this court to recall its mandate and reopen postconviction proceedings before a second Rule 37 petition can be brought in circuit court and affirming circuit court's dismissal of Kemp's second Rule 37 petition for lack of jurisdiction).	In post-conviction proceedings after remand (2002): (1) whether the failure to investigate ownership of gun found at murder scene constituted ineffective assistance of counsel; (2) whether "imperfect self-defense" instruction was warranted (Part of IAC claim)	
20	Lacy, Brandon E.	Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Benton * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Male * Date of crime: 2007 * Date of Sentencing: 05/13/2009	Current proceedings: Direct Appeal; Case No. CR 09-1340	Janice Vaughn Steve Harper Public Defender	None		

1	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
	Name	General Information	Crime and Trial	Legal Status	Current Counsel	Reported Opinions	Significant Legal Issues	
21	Lee, Ledell	DOB: 07/31/1965 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Pulaski * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: 02/09/1993 * Date of Sentencing: 10/16/1995	Current proceedings: Habeas petition pending in E.D. Ark.	Deborah Sallings Kent Gipson Gary Brotherton	Lee v. State, 942 S.W.2d 231 (Ark.), cert. denied, 522 U.S. 1002 (1997); Lee v. State, 38 S.W.3d 334 (Ark. 2001) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Lee v. State, 238 S.W.3d 52 (2006) (recalling the mandate due to post-conviction counsel's incompetency because of an alcohol-abuse problem); Lee v. State, 308 S.W.3d 596 (Ark.) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief), cert. denied, 130 S.Ct. 555 (2009).	In 2009 post-conviction proceedings: (1) whether the following constituted ineffective assistance of counsel: (i) failure to call alibi witnesses; (ii) failure to engage services of a mitigation specialist; (iii) failing to call defendant's mother and sister during penalty phase; (iv) opening door to in-court identification of defendant by alleged rape victim; and (v) failure to seek independent laboratory testing of DNA samples was not ineffective assistance of counsel In first post-conviction proceeding, 38 S.W.3d 334 (2001): (1) conflict of interest stemming from Mr. Lee's pending lawsuits and ineffectiveness proceedings against attorneys Simpson and Qualls stemming from three separate criminal cases (the resulting convictions of which were used as aggravating circumstances), and trial judge's refusal to relieve these attorneys of service (2) trial counsel's refusal to call alibi witnesses at Mr. Lee's request, when such witnesses had been presented at an earlier trial that resulted in a hung jury, and even though counsel promised in opening statement that alibi evidence would be presented (3) trial judge's ongoing extramarital affair with prosecuting attorney, and failure to disclose this affair or recuse	
22	Marcyniuk, Zachariah	DOB: 05/21/1979 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Washington * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: 03/09/2008 * Date of Sentencing: 12/12/2008	Current Proceedings: Post-conviction proceedings	Janice Vaughn Arkansas Public Defender	Marcyniuk v. State, 2010 WL 2131968 (Ark. May 27, 2010).	(1) whether the circuit court erred in denying defendant's motions for directed verdict, (2) whether the circuit court erred in allowing certain photographs into evidence and permitting the State to enlarge photographs of the victim on a projection screen, and (3) whether the circuit court erred in denying his motion to suppress statements appellant made during a traffic stop	
23	McGehee, Jason F.	DOB: 07/04/1976 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Boone * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Male * Date of crime: 08/19/1996 * Date of Sentencing: 01/08/1998	Current proceedings: Post certiorari	Bruce Eddy Jeffrey M. Rosenzweig On 8th Cir. Appeal: Julie Brain Josh Lee Deborah Czuba	McGehee v. State, 992 S.W.2d 110 (Ark. 1999); McGehee v. State, 43 S.W.3d 125 (Ark. 2001) (reversing denial of post-conviction relief and requiring trial court to enter a written order making specific findings of fact and conclusions of law on each issue raised in petition); McGehee v. State, 72 S.W.3d 867 (Ark. 2002) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); McGehee v. Norris, 2008 WL 90021 (E.D. Ark. Jan. 2, 2008) (granting in habeas corpus in part and denying in part); McGehee v. Norris, 2008 WL 110914 (E.D. Ark. Jan. 9, 2008) (staying order); McGehee v. Norris, 588 F.3d 1185 (8th Cir. 2009) (remanding the case with directions to dismiss the petition because district court erred in considering new evidence that was never presented in state court).	On habeas appeal in the 8th Cir.: (1) whether district court erred in considering new evidence that was never presented in state court; (2) whether the trial court's decision to exclude additional mitigation testimony from defendant's aunt was an unreasonable application of federal law; (3) whether defendant demonstrated that his mental state was likely to be a significant factor at trial; and (4) whether the district court abused its discretion in denying habeas petitioner an evidentiary hearing based on statements made by his codefendants.	
24	Miller, James	DOB: 01/18/1976 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Sebastian * Number of counts: Three * Race of Victims: All White * Gender of Victims: 2 Female/1 Male * Date of crime: Dec. 22-26, 2006 * Date of Sentencing: 04/04/2008	Current proceedings: Remanded for new penalty phase	At trial: Coy Rush, Jr.	Miller v. State, 2010 WL 129708 (Ark. Jan. 7, 2010) (remanding for new penalty phase because two victim-impact witnesses improperly recommended to the jury that they impose the death penalty).	On direct appeal: (1) suppression of evidence from search of home and Miller's statements (2) fair cross section claim (3) competency (4) mental retardation (5) admission of photographs (6) hearsay in expert testimony (7) improper rebuttal testimony (8) instruction on voluntary intoxication (9) victim-impact witnesses recommended death penalty	
25	Newman, Rickey D.	DOB: 08/04/1957 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Crawford * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: 02/07/2001 * Date of Sentencing: 06/10/2002	Current Proceedings: Writ of coram nobis; habeas petition filed in W.D. Ark. and stayed pending exhaustion of claims in state court	Julie Brain	Newman v. State, 84 S.W.3d 443 (Ark. 2002) (finding that Newman's pro se motion to waive appeals is moot); Newman v. State, 84 S.W.3d 43 (Ark. 2002) (denying motion of trial counsel to be relieved as appellate counsel); Newman v. State, 85 S.W.3d 883 (Ark. 2002) (granting trial counsel's motion for relief as appellate counsel); Newman v. State, 2003 WL 204501 (Ark. Jan. 30, 2003) (denying Newman's pro se motion to dismiss mandatory review of judgment and sentence); Newman v. State, 106 S.W.3d 438 (Ark. 2003) (affirming conviction and sentence); State v. Newman, 132 S.W.3d 438 (Ark. 2003) (reversing dismissal of Rule 37 proceedings by circuit court and remanding for competency evaluation); State v. Newman, 159 S.W.3d 309 (Ark. 2004) (affirming circuit court's finding that Mr. Newman competently and knowingly waived post-conviction remedies); State v. Newman, 2004 WL 670668 (Ark. April 1, 2004) (denying Newman's pro se motion to waive further appeals); State v. Newman, 193 S.W.3d 737 (Ark. 2004) (staying execution and ordering briefing on mental retardation claims); State v. Newman, 205 S.W.3d 795 (Ark. 2005); Newman v. Norris, 2006 WL 559326 (W.D. Ark. 2006) (granting Newman leave to conduct discovery); Newman v. Norris, 2008 WL 222589 (W.D. Ark. Jan. 24, 2008) (finding, after evidentiary hearing, that Newman's habeas petition was timely and the statute of limitations was equitably tolled due to Newman's mental incompetence when he waived his post-conviction appeal); Newman v. Norris, 2008 WL 2937806 (W.D. Ark. July 23, 2008) (granting in part Newman's motion to expand the record in support of actual innocence claim in light of what was "discoverable" when Newman's competency was questionable and he had forbidden his attorney to present any form of defense); Newman v. Norris, 597 F. Supp. 2d 890 (W.D. Ark. 2009) (dismissing habeas corpus in part); Newman v. Norris, 2009 WL 708496 (W.D. Ark. March 16, 2009) (denying reconsideration); Newman v. State, 2009 WL 5449212 (Ark. Nov. 5, 2009) (granting Newman's petition to reinvest jurisdiction in the circuit court to consider a petition for writ of error coram nobis).	On petition to seek a writ of error coram nobis: (1) incompetency at trial (2) Brady violation Other claims: (1) actual innocence (2) mental retardation	
26	Nooner, Terrick T.	DOB: 03/17/1971 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Pulaski * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Male * Date of crime: 03/16/1993 * Date of Sentencing: 09/28/1993	Current proceedings: Appeal pending in 8th Cir.	Bruce Eddy Julie Brain Scott Braden Josh Lee	Nooner v. State, 907 S.W.2d 677 (Ark. 1995) (affirming conviction and sentence), cert. denied, 517 U.S. 1143 (1996); Nooner v. Norris, 163 F.3d 602 (8th Cir. 1998) (affirming denial of habeas corpus); Nooner v. State, 1999 WL 239875 (Ark. April 22, 1999); Nooner v. State, 4 S.W.3d 497 (Ark. 1999) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Nooner v. Norris, 402 F.3d 801 (8th Cir. 2005) (affirming dismissal of habeas corpus), cert. denied, 547 U.S. 1137 (2006); Nooner v. Norris, 499 F.3d 831 (8th Cir. 2007) (reversing dismissal of habeas corpus); Nooner v. Norris, 2010 WL 129650 (E.D. Ark. Jan. 11, 2010) (denying habeas corpus); Nooner v. Hobbs, 2010 WL 1876168 (E.D. Ark. May 06, 2010) (denying motion to amend judgment).	On habeas petition in E.D. Ark: (1) newly discovered evidence of actual innocence (2) prosecutorial misconduct	

1	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
	Name	General Information	Crime and Trial	Legal Status	Current Counsel	Reported Opinions	Significant Legal Issues	
27	Rankin, Roderick L.	DOB: 11/18/1975 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Jefferson * Number of counts: Three * Race of Victims: Black/Black/Black * Gender of Victims: Female/Female/Male * Date of crime: 12/27/1994 * Date of Sentencing: 02/13/1996	Current proceedings: Habeas petition pending in E.D. Ark; appeal to 8th Cir. on district court's order for a stay)	Billy Nolas Michael Wiseman Rebecca Blaskey	Rankin v. State, 948 S.W.2d 397 (Ark. 1997) (remanding to determine whether Mr. Rankin's confession was given after a knowing and intelligent waiver of rights); Rankin v. State, 1 S.W.3d 14 (Ark. 1999) (affirming sentence and conviction); Rankin v. State, 227 S.W.3d 924 (Ark. 2006) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Rankin v. Norris, 2009 WL 1973475 (E.D. Ark. July 08, 2009) (granting Rankin's motion for stay and abeyance of federal proceedings to seek exhaustion of claims in state court and denying Rankin's motion for evidentiary hearing on mental retardation claim).	On appeal after remand (1999): (1) whether any alleged threat to detain defendant's mother and brother at police station until defendant confessed rendered defendant's custodial statements involuntary; (2) whether length or nature of defendant's detention at police station rendered his statements involuntary; (3) whether defendant's youth, poor reading level, and low IQ established that his confession was involuntary or that his waiver of Miranda rights was not knowingly and intelligently made; and (4) whether the evidence was sufficient to show that defendant was informed of his Miranda rights before he waived them. On appeal from denial of post-conviction relief: (1) whether defendant received ineffective assistance of counsel during penalty phase of trial, and (2) whether defendant was entitled to postconviction review of challenge to aggravating circumstance that he created risk of death to persons other than victim.	
28	Reams, Kenneth	DOB: 12/21/1974 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Jefferson * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Male * Date of crime: 05/05/1993 * Date of Sentencing: 12/16/1993	Current proceedings: Post-conviction proceedings	Miriam Gohara Christina Swarns NAACP Legal Defense Fund	Reams v. State, 909 S.W.2d 324 (Ark. 1995.), cert. denied, 519 U.S. 832 (1996) (affirming conviction and sentence).	On direct appeal: (1) whether proportional review was required; (2) whether evidence supported aggravating circumstances of pecuniary gain and prior felony with element of use or threat of violence to another person; (3) whether mental retardation precluded the death penalty; (4) whether prosecutor's reasons for striking black jurors were race neutral; and	
29	Roberts, Karl D.	DOB: 03/06/1968 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Polk * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: 05/15/1999 * Date of Sentencing: 05/24/2000	Current proceedings: Habeas petition filed in E.D. Ark but held in abeyance pending the exhaustion of claims in state court	Jennifer Horan Bruce Eddy Scott Braden	Roberts v. State, 102 S.W.3d 482 (Ark. 2003) (affirming conviction and sentence); State v. Roberts, 123 S.W.3d 881 (Ark. 2003) (affirming trial court's finding that Mr. Roberts is competent and approval of request to waive Rule 37 remedies); Roberts v. Norris, 415 F.3d 816 (8th Cir. 2005) (dismissing appeal of district court's stay of execution); Roberts v. Norris, 526 F.Supp.2d 926 (E.D. Ark. Dec. 13, 2007) (staying a mixed habeas petition to allow the petitioner to present in state court his unexhausted claims that he did not competently waive his right to appeal).	On direct appeal: (1) whether defendant knowingly and intelligently waived his right to a state appeal; (2) whether a false promise of leniency rendered defendant's confession involuntary; (3) whether evidence established the murder was committed in especially cruel or depraved manner, as aggravating circumstance at penalty phase; and (4) whether the fact that jury left portions of verdict form blank did not establish that jury failed to follow fundamental safeguards at penalty phase. In post-conviction proceedings: (1) Mr. Roberts' competence to waive appellate and post-conviction remedies	
30	Sales, Derek	DOB: 01/08/1961 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Ashley * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: Black * Gender of Victims: Male * Date of crime: 12/24/2005 * Date of Sentencing: 05/17/2007	Current proceedings: Post-conviction proceedings, Case number: CR 10-53; filed on 01/15/2010	Jeffrey Harrelson	Sales v. State, 289 S.W.3d 423 (Ark. 2008), cert. denied, 129 S.Ct. 2000 (2009).	On direct appeal: (1) whether there was sufficient evidence to support the verdict (2) whether defendant was prejudiced when law enforcement officer showed crime scene photographs to victim's family when potential jurors were present so as to warrant a mistrial	
31	Sanders, Raymond	DOB: 08/14/1960 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Grant * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victims: White/White * Gender of Victims: Male/Female * Date of crime: 11/21/1989 * Date of Sentencing: 02/28/1991	Current proceedings: Remanded to circuit court where Sanders may proceed with a petition for writ of error coram nobis	Jeffrey Rosenzweig	Sanders v. State, 824 S.W.2d 353 (Ark. 1992) (affirming conviction but remanding for new sentencing phase); Sanders v. State, 878 S.W.2d 391 (Ark. 1994) (affirming sentence), cert. denied, 513 U.S. 1162 (1995); Sanders v. State, 98 S.W.3d 35 (Ark.) (reversing denial of post-conviction relief), reh'g, 102 S.W.3d 480 (Ark. 2003); Sanders v. State, 285 S.W.3d 630 (Ark. 2008) (holding that good cause existed for issuance of writ of error coram nobis, so that petitioner could proceed in post-conviction trial court on his due process claim of a Brady violation at trial).	On appeal from denial of post-conviction relief: (1) whether, on an issue of apparent first impression, it was unreasonable to summarily dismiss petitioner's original petition for exceeding 10 pages; (2) whether petitioner was entitled to supplement his petition so that he could raise allegations regarding alleged criminal relationship between prosecutor and petitioner's defense counsel; (3) whether trial court's application of civil procedure rule governing summary judgment to petition prejudiced petitioner; (4) whether petitioner was entitled to hearing on his petition; (5) whether petitioner was entitled to different judge on remand; and (6) whether petitioner was entitled to appointment of counsel under rule providing method for pursuing postconviction relief in death-penalty cases. On petition to reinvest jurisdiction in the trial court to consider a petition for writ of error coram nobis: (1) Brady violation	
32	Sasser, Andrew	DOB: 10/21/1964 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Miller * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: 07/12/1993 * Date of Sentencing: 03/03/1994	Current proceedings: Habeas petition pending in W.D. Ark.; evidentiary hearing on Sasser's mental retardation claim held in June 2010	Julie Brain Bruce Eddy	Sasser v. State, 902 S.W.2d 773 (Ark. 1995) (affirming conviction and sentence); Sasser v. State, 993 S.W.2d 901 (Ark. 1999) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Sasser v. Norris, 2007 WL 63765 (W.D. Ark. Jan. 09, 2007) (denying habeas corpus); Sasser v. Norris, 2007 WL 1159634 (W.D. Ark. April 18, 2007) (denying motion to amend judgment); Sasser v. Norris, 553 F.3d 1121 (8th Cir.) (reversing in part, finding that the State forfeited its statute of limitations defense and remanding to district court for an evidentiary hearing on Sasser's mental retardation claim), cert. denied, 130 S.Ct. 397 (2009).	On appeal from denial of habeas corpus: (1) right to evidentiary hearing on mental retardation claim (2) forfeiting statute of limitations defense (3) ineffective assistance of counsel	
33	Springs, Thomas	DOB: 06/25/1962 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Sebastian * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: 01/21/2005 * Date of Sentencing: 11/24/2005	Current proceedings: Post-conviction proceedings (No. CR 09-824) pending in state court	Jeffrey Rosenzweig	Springs v. State, 244 S.W.3d 683 (Ark. 2006), cert. denied, 550 U.S. 939 (2007).	On direct appeal: (1) whether trial court's failure to grant defendant's pretrial motion to appoint a head-injury expert to examine him for a possible brain injury such a serious error that trial court had an obligation to subsequently intervene and appoint expert, and thus requiring the Supreme Court to consider the issue on appeal despite not having an contemporaneous objection below; (2) whether the submission of standard jury form respecting mitigating circumstances, rather than form proffered by defendant, acted as an impermissible exclusion of relevant mitigating factors in penalty phase; (3) whether the probative value of two photographs of murder victim, which were taken at hospital and showed victim's multiple stab wounds to her back side as well as wounds to her chest and arm, was outweighed by its prejudicial effect; and (4) whether the victim-impact evidence was admissible during penalty phase.	
34	Taylor, Jason L.	Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Saline * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: Black * Gender of Victims: Male * Date of crime: 10/2008 * Date of Sentencing: 06/26/2009	Current proceedings: Direct Appeal; Case No. CR 10-50	Francis Parker Jones III	None.		

1	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
	Name	General Information	Crime and Trial	Legal Status	Current Counsel	Reported Opinions	Significant Legal Issues	
35	Thessing, Billy	DOB: 09/11/1968 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Pulaski * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: 02/11/2003 * Date of Sentencing: 09/10/2004		William Simpson, Jr. Clint Miller Deputy Public Defender	Thessing v. State, 230 S.W.3d 526 (Ark.), cert. denied, 549 U.S. 891 (2006).	On direct appeal: (1) whether defendant's post-murder drug use was admissible as res gestae evidence; (2) whether alleged error in denying defendant's motion for mistrial or for admonishment of jury to disregard prosecutor's comment, during guilt phase closing arguments, that defendant had been judged competent to stand trial, required reversal of death sentence; (3) whether the evidence was sufficient to support jury's findings; and (4) whether any error in instruction on mitigating circumstances at penalty phase, that a mitigating circumstance is shown if jury believes from the evidence that it "probably existed," constituted reversible error.	
36	Thomas, Mickey D.	DOB: 09/25/1974 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Pike * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female/Female * Date of crime: 06/14/2004 * Date of Sentencing: 09/28 (297)/2005	Current proceedings: Post-conviction case (No. CR 10-545) filed in Ark. Supreme Court on 5/26/2010	Jeffrey Harelson	Thomas v. State, 257 S.W.3d 92 (Ark.), 552 U.S. 1025 (2007).	On direct appeal: (1) whether the trial court violated defendant's constitutional rights by transferring case; (2) whether the claim that court transferred trial to county with virtually no "non-white" residents established prima facie case of violation of constitutional jury trial rights; (3) whether the victim impact evidence at sentencing phase was admissible; (4) whether counsel's alleged belief that his other scheduled trials would hamper the ability to render effective assistance of counsel entitled defendant to a continuance; (5) whether defendant demonstrated prejudice from court's refusal to allow continuance to allow him to obtain results of DNA tests on evidence; and (6) whether the word "probably" in model jury instruction regarding mitigating circumstances imposed any non-statutory burden on defendant.	
37	Ward, Bruce Earl	DOB: 12/24/1956 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Pulaski * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: 08/11/1989 * Date of Sentencing: 10/18/1990	Current proceedings: Post certiorari Collateral Litigation: Case 5:10-cv-00065-JLH (E.D. Ark. & 8th Cir.) Case 5:10-cv-00092-SWW-HDY (E.D. Ark.)	Joe Luby Collateral Litigation: Case Jennifer Merrigan & Joe Luby Case 5:10-cv-00092-SWW-HDY: Josh Lee Scott Braden	Ward v. State, 827 S.W.2d 110 (Ark.), cert. denied, 506 U.S. 841 (1992) (affirming conviction and reversing sentence); Ward v. State, 906 S.W.2d 685 (Ark. 1995) (reversing death sentence and remanding for new penalty phase trial); Ward v. State, 1 S.W.3d 1 (Ark. 1999) (affirming death sentence); Ward v. State, 84 S.W.3d 863 (Ark. 2002) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Ward v. Norris, 577 F.3d 925 (8th Cir. 2009) (affirming denial of habeas corpus), cert. denied, 130 S.Ct. 2367 (2010).	On appeal of denial of habeas corpus: (1) whether the petitioner's motion for relief from judgment and motion to alter or amend the judgment constituted second or successive petitions; (2) whether review would be limited to facts related to argument made in state court post-conviction proceedings; (3) whether counsel's failure to seek recusal of trial judge was deficient; and (4) whether counsel's failure to seek recusal amounted to structural error.	
38	Wertz, Steven	DOB: 02/17/1950 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Sharp * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female/Male * Date of crime: 12/30-31/1986 * Date of Sentencing: 07/19/2007	Current proceedings: Post-conviction	Gregory Bryant	Wertz v. State, 287 S.W.3d 528 (Ark. 2008) (affirming conviction and sentence).	On direct appeal: (1) whether evidence was sufficient to corroborate testimony of accomplice; (2) whether evidence supported aggravating factor that capital defendant knowingly created a risk of death to a person other than the victims; and (3) whether jury was required to find mitigating circumstance based on argument of counsel that defendant had no criminal history.	
39	Williams, Frank Jr.	DOB: 07/27/1966 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Lafayette * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Male * Date of crime: 10/07/1992 * Date of Sentencing: 02/12/1993	Current proceedings: Post certiorari	Julie Brain Jennifer Horan	Williams v. State, 902 S.W.2d 767 (Ark. 1995), cert. denied, 516 U.S. 1030 (1995); Williams v. State, 56 S.W.3d 360 (Ark. 2001) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Williams v. Norris, 461 F.3d 999 (8th Cir. 2006) (affirming denial of habeas corpus), cert. denied, 552 U.S. 840 (2007).	On appeal from denial of habeas corpus: (1) whether motion for relief from judgment was properly construed as a successive habeas petition; (2) whether amendment to petition filed while the appeal from the denial of the petition was pending could be deemed successive habeas petition; and (3) whether motion to alter or amend judgment was properly deemed successive habeas petition.	
40	Williams, Kenneth	DOB: 02/23/1979 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Lincoln * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Male * Date of crime: 10/03/1999 * Date of Sentencing: 08/30/2000	Current proceedings: Cert petition pending Williams's motion for rehearing denied on 09/15/2010	Jeffrey Rosenzweig	Williams v. State, 67 S.W.3d 548 (Ark. 2002); Williams v. State, 251 S.W.3d 290 (Ark. 2007) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Williams v. Norris, 2008 WL 4820559 (E.D. Ark. Nov. 4, 2008) (denying habeas corpus); Williams v. Norris, 612 F.3d 941 (8th Cir. 2010) (affirming denial of habeas corpus).	On appeal from denial of habeas corpus: (1) whether habeas relief was warranted due to exclusion of expert testimony concerning prison's negligence in allowing petitioner to escape and thereafter commit murder; (2) whether denial of funding for a corrections expert deprived petitioner of a fair trial; (3) whether error in admission of victim impact testimony was harmless; (4) whether habeas relief was warranted on juror bias claim; (5) whether jury's consideration of mitigating evidence violated Eighth Amendment; (6) whether counsel was ineffective for not introducing documentation supporting expert's mitigation testimony; (7) whether requiring petitioner to wear prison attire during trial violated due process; and (8) whether habeas relief was warranted due to shackling of petitioner during trial. Other Claims: - claim of mental retardation under Atkins - trial counsel's failure to investigate and present evidence of Mr. Williams' family and personal history, including history of child abuse and substance abuse by both parents - sufficiency of written Miranda warning and waiver prior to two incriminating statements given by Mr. Williams	
41	Williams, Marcel W.	DOB: 08/20/1970 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Pulaski * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: 11/20/1994 * Date of Sentencing: 01/14/1997	Current proceedings: Cert petition pending; filed 04/22/10	Julie Bryan	Williams v. State, 991 S.W.2d 565 (Ark. 1999) (affirming conviction and sentence); Williams v. State, 64 S.W.3d 709 (Ark. 2002) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Williams v. Norris, 2006 WL 1699835 (E.D. Ark. June 19, 2006) (dismissing habeas corpus in part); Williams v. Norris, 2007 WL 1100417 (E.D. Ark. April 11, 2007) (granting the writ solely on the ground of ineffective assistance of counsel at the penalty phase of the trial and dismissing the other claims); Williams v. Norris, 576 F.3d 850 (8th Cir. 2009) (reversing the grant of habeas relief and affirming the dismissal of remaining claims).	On appeal to the 8th Cir.: (1) whether state court's ruling that habeas petitioner was not prejudiced by trial counsel's alleged ineffectiveness for failing to produce additional mitigating evidence was contrary to federal law; (2) whether the district court committed reversible error by granting evidentiary hearing without citing the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act (AEDPA); (3) whether petitioner was entitled to evidentiary hearing in federal habeas proceeding to investigate his ineffective assistance claim; (4) whether state's proffered reason for using peremptory strike for African-American prospective juror was pretextual; (5) whether state court's determination that petitioner's waiver of his Miranda rights was knowing and intelligent was contrary to federal law; and (6) whether state court's determination that sufficient evidence established that murder was committed in an especially cruel or depraved manner was contrary to federal law.	

