

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Name	General Information	Crime and Trial	Legal Status	Current Counsel	Reported Opinions	Significant Legal Issues
2	Anderson, Terrance	DOB: 11/29/1975 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Butler (on transfer from Cape Girardeau) * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victims: White/White * Gender of Victims: Male/Female (death sentence for female victim, life for male) * Date of crime: 7/25/1995 * Date of Sentencing: 5/16/2001	Current Proceedings: Rule 29.15 PCR Filed: 07/19/2010 Petition for Cert Filed: 7/19/2010	Valerie Leftwich Missouri Public Defender System	State v. Anderson, 79 S.W.3d 420 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 537 U.S. 898 (2002); Anderson v. State, 196 S.W.3d 28 (Mo. banc 2006) (remanded for retrial of penalty phase), cert. denied, 549 U.S. 1223 (2007); State v. Anderson, 306 S.W.3d 529 (Mo. banc 2010) (appeal after new sentencing hearing).	On appeal from penalty phase retrial: 1. whether defendant was prejudiced by erroneous omission of certain language from pattern instruction on "verdict mechanics"; 2. whether state was prohibited, based on its failure to include aggravating circumstances in the information, from seeking death penalty at new penalty phase; 3. whether defendant was "acquitted" at first penalty phase, so as to give rise to double jeopardy protections against death sentence at new penalty phase; 4. whether pathologist's testimony about autopsy of other victim was admissible; 5. whether death penalty scheme impermissibly shift burden of proof in connection with weighing of aggravating and mitigating evidence; 6. whether state is required, after proving beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of at least one
3	Barnett, David	DOB: 05/18/1976 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victims: White/White * Gender of Victims: Male/Female * Date of crime: 02/04/1996 * Date of Sentencing: 05/02/1997	Current Proceedings: Post certiorari, Collateral Litigation	Elizabeth Carlyle Richard Sindel John William Simon	State v. Barnett, 980 S.W. 2d 297 (Mo. banc 1998), cert. denied, 525 U.S. 1161 (1999); Barnett v. State, 103 S.W.3d 765 (Mo. banc) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief), cert. denied, 540 U.S. 862 (2003); Barnett v. Roper, 2006 WL 2475036 (E.D. Mo. 2006) (denying habeas corpus); Barnett v. Roper, 541 F.3d 804 (8th Cir. 2008), cert. denied, 130 S.Ct. 63 (2009).	On habeas appeal: 1. whether the prosecutor's use of all 11 of his peremptory strikes against prospective female jurors violated the Equal Protection Clause; and 2. whether the state forfeited its claim that habeas petition was barred by the statute of limitations
4	Barton, Walter	DOB: 01/24/56 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Christian * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: 10/09/1991 * Date of Sentencing: 06/28/1994	Current Proceedings: Rule 29.15 PCR Filed: 04/11/2008	Gary Brotherton	State v. Barton, 936 S.W.2d 781 (Mo. banc 1996) (remanding for new trial); State v. Barton, 998 S.W.2d 19 (Mo. banc 1999), cert. denied, 528 U.S. 1121 (2000); Barton v. State, 76 S.W.3d 280 (Mo. banc 2002) (reversing denial of post-conviction relief); State v. Barton, 240 S.W.3d 693 (Mo. banc 2007), cert. denied, 129 S.Ct. 79 (2008)	On direct appeal: 1. whether retrial was barred on double jeopardy grounds 2. whether death penalty was disproportionate
5	Baumruk, Kenneth	DOB: 04/13/39 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victims: Female * Date of crime: 05/05/1992 * Date of Sentencing: 06/18/2001	Current Proceedings: Rule 29.15 PCR Filed: 07/24/2009	Jeannie Marie Willibey Valerie Sue Leftwich	State v. Baumruk, 85 S.W.3d 644 (Mo. Banc 2002) (remanding for new trial); State v. Baumruk, 2007 WL 7126230 (Mo. Cir. Ct. March 15, 2007); State v. Baumruk, 280 S.W.3d 600 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 130 S.Ct. 144 (2009).	On appeal after retrial: 1. whether the Supreme Court would defer to trial court's finding that defendant was competent to stand trial; 2. whether the trial court erred in determining that defendant lacked the ability to voluntarily and intelligently elect to represent himself; 3. whether the trial court abused its discretion in overruling motion to import a jury from another county; 4. whether defendant's right to impartial jury was infringed upon by restrictions on voir dire questioning; 5. whether trial court's failure to strike sua sponte a juror who questioned his ability to presume defendant innocent was plain error;
6	Boliek, William	DOB: 04/15/1956 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Camden * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: Female * Date of crime: 09/30/1983 * Date of Sentencing: 12/12/1984	Current Proceedings: Post certiorari (Gov. Carhahan stayed his execution and then died. In 2001, the MO Supreme Court refused to lift the stay)	Charles Gordon David Jay DeSimone	State v. Boliek, 706 S.W.2d 847 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 479 U.S. 903 (1986); Boliek v. Delo, 912 F.Supp. 1199 (W.D. Mo. 1995) (granting habeas corpus); Boliek v. Bowersox, 96 F.3d 1070 (8th Cir. 1996) (reversing district court), cert. denied, 520 U.S. 1267 (1997).	On habeas appeal: 1. whether defendant was procedurally barred from raising claims of ineffectiveness of counsel that he did not raise in state-court postconviction proceeding; and 2. whether defendant was prejudiced by his appellate lawyer's failure to argue that he was unconstitutionally denied access to psychiatrist to help him prepare for penalty phase of his trial.
7	Bucklew, Russell	DOB: 05/16/68 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Boone (Cape Girardeau) * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: Male * Date of crime: 03/21/1996 * Date of Sentencing: 05/15/1997	Current Proceedings: Post certiorari	John W. Simon Cheryl Pilate	State v. Bucklew, 973 S.W.2d 83 (Mo. banc 1998), cert. denied, 525 U.S. 1082 (1999); Bucklew v. State, 1999 WL 34791430 (Mo. Cir. Ct. Dec. 29, 1999); Bucklew v. State, 38 S.W.3d 395 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 534 U.S. 964 (2001); Bucklew v. Luebbers, 436 F.3d 1010 (8th Cir. 2006), cert. denied, 549 U.S. 1079 (2006).	On habeas appeal: 1. whether counsel was ineffective for failing to call clinical psychologist during guilt phase; 2. whether claim challenging admission of prior bad acts evidence of petitioner's assault against victim was cognizable on habeas review; 3. whether counsel's decision during sentencing phase of capital murder trial not to call clinical psychologist was reasonable trial strategy; 4. whether counsel was ineffective for failing to call five friends and family members to testify about petitioner's character during sentencing phase; 5. whether counsel's failure investigate and present expert security testimony during sentencing phase of capital murder trial deprived petitioner of effective assistance of counsel; and 6. whether prosecutor's improper comments (providing opinion that crim deserved death penalty and
8	Christeson, Mark	DOB: 02/20/79 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Vernon * Number of counts: Three * Race of Victim: White/white/white * Gender of Victim: 2 Female/ 1 Male * Date of crime: 02/01/1998 * Date of Sentencing: 10/14/1999	Current Proceedings: Post certiorari	Eric Butts	State v. Christeson, 50 S.W.3d 251 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 534 U.S. 978 (2001); Christeson v. State, 131 S.W.3d 796 (Mo. banc 2005) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief).	On appeal from denial of post-conviction relief: 1. whether trial counsel was ineffective for failing to raise objections to prosecutor's examinations of witnesses, to several statements prosecutor made to venire panel during death penalty qualification, or to statements prosecutor made during closing argument; 2. whether judge inappropriately presided over petitioner's postconviction relief proceeding 3. whether trial counsel's failure to request optional "no adverse inference" instruction during penalty phase of murder trial was reasonable strategy; 4. whether giving jury instruction, that the jury was not required to fix death as punishment, at the end of all three murder counts, instead of at the end of each of the three murder counts, prejudiced outcome of trial;
9	Clay, Richard	DOB: 01/20/1965 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Callaway * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: Male * Date of crime: 05/19/1994 * Date of Sentencing: 09/18/1995	Current Proceedings: Post certiorari	Jennifer Herndon	State v. Clay, 975 S.W.2d 121 (Mo. banc 1998), cert. denied, 525 U.S. 1085 (1999); Clay v. Bowersox, 367 F.3d 993 (8th Cir. 2004) (reversing grant of habeas corpus), cert. denied, 544 U.S. 1035 (2005).	On habeas appeal: 1. whether information concerning plea agreement offered to key prosecution witness was material, as required to support claim of Brady violation; 2. whether petitioner was prejudiced by counsel's alleged deficient performance in failing to discover information; 3. whether information that second set of footprints may have been observed around abandoned getaway car was material; 4. whether prosecutor presented contradictory theories at petitioner's trial and victim's wife's trial for hiring petitioner; 5. whether state court's determination that "depravity of mind" aggravating circumstance instruction was not overbroad was contrary to clearly established federal law; and

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
10	Clayton, Cecil	DOB: 04/16/1940 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Jasper * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: Male * Date of crime: 11/27/1996 * Date of Sentencing: 12/08/1997	Current Proceedings: Post certiorari	Elizabeth Carlyle	State v. Clayton, 995 S.W.2d 468 (Mo. banc, cert. denied, 528 U.S. 1027 (1999)); Clayton v. State, 63 S.W.3d 201 (Mo. banc 2001), cert. denied, 535 U.S. 1138 (2002); Clayton v. Luebbers, 2006 WL 1128803 (W.D. Mo. April 27, 2006); Clayton v. Roper, 515 F.3d 784 (8th Cir.), cert. denied, 129 S.Ct. 507 (2008).	On habeas appeal: 1. whether petitioner was competent to proceed with habeas review; 2. whether prosecutor's statements during closing and sentencing phases of trial violated due process rights, when prosecutor allegedly disparaged the opinion of one of defendant's mental-health experts, mentioned defendant's probable intent to commit other crimes, mentioned the prosecutor's time as a soldier, described the defense argument against the death penalty as preposterous, referred to aspects of defendant's criminal proceedings as legal niceties, and averred that the punishment should fit the crime, not the criminal; 3. whether jury instructions were erroneous when trial court included a depraved-mind or random killing aggravating factor with a peace-officer aggravating factor
11	Clemons, Reginald	DOB: 08/30/1971 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victim: White/White * Gender of Victim: Female/Female * Date of crime: 04/04/1991 * Date of Sentencing: 04/09/1993	Current Proceedings: Post certiorari	Conklin, Donald of Simpson, Thatcher, & Bartlett in NYC	State v. Clemons, 946 S.W.2d 206 (Mo. banc, cert. denied, 522 U.S. 968 (1997)); Clemons v. Luebbers, 212 F.Supp.2d 1105 (E.D. Mo. 2002); Clemons v. Luebbers, 381 F.3d 744 (8th Cir. 2004), cert. denied, 546 U.S. 828 (2005).	On habeas appeal: 1. whether habeas court erred when, notwithstanding unequivocal ruling of state supreme court applying procedural bar to unreserved substantive voir dire claim, it determined that Missouri courts improperly applied bar under their rules, and reviewed claim without requiring petitioner to show cause and prejudice or actual innocence; 2. whether trial counsel's failure to object to prosecutor's voir dire of jurors prejudiced petitioner, and thus was cause for procedural default on death-qualification issue; 3. whether juror's shifting response to death-qualification questions provided sufficient rationale for decision to disqualify juror for cause; 4. whether any error with respect to witness intimidation claim was harmless;
12	Cole, Andre	DOB: 10/03/1962 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: Black * Gender of Victim: Male * Date of crime: 08/21/1998 * Date of Sentencing: 03/09/2001	Current Proceedings: Appealing denial of habeas corpus to the 8th Cir.	Joe Luby	State v. Cole, 2001 WL 36246819 (Mo. Cir. Ct. March 9, 2001); State v. Cole, 71 S.W.3d 163 (Mo. banc, cert. denied, 537 U.S. 865 (2002)); Cole v. State, 152 S.W.3d 267 (Mo. banc 2004) (affirming the denial of post-conviction relief), cert. denied, 545 U.S. 1131 (2005); Cole v. Roper, 579 F.Supp.2d 1246 (E.D. Mo. 2008) (denying habeas corpus).	On review of habeas petition in E.D. Mo.: 1. whether evidence was sufficient to support finding of deliberation, as required to sustain conviction for first-degree murder under Missouri law; 2. whether prosecutor's closing argument during guilt phase that no other case could have been more important to the people of the county prejudiced defendant; 3. whether prosecutor's statement during closing argument of guilt phase that anyone sitting in the defense chair was "usually there for a reason," violated presumption of innocence; 4. whether veniremember's divorced status, hesitation to impose the death penalty, and having an incarcerated cousin were nonracial, non-pretextual reasons for use of a peremptory challenge; 5. whether leg brace restraint worn during trial violated petitioner's due process rights; 6. whether petitioner had adequate notice of aggravating factors sufficient to satisfy due process; and 7. whether petitioner was denied effective assistance of counsel.
13	Davis, Richard	DOB: 07/09/1964 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Jackson * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: Female * Date of Sentencing: 08/31/2007	Current Proceedings: Direct Appeal completed, but PCR has not yet been filed	Deborah Wafer Missouri Public Defender	State v. Davis, 2010 WL 2690371 (Mo. Banc June 29, 2010) (affirming conviction and death penalty).	On direct appeal: 1. whether defendant failed to make the showing required under Ake v. Oklahoma to warrant requiring the State to supply an expert to assist defendant in presenting a defense; 2. whether the State was required to provide investigative and trial preparation tools to an indigent defendant directly, rather than to defense counsel; 3. whether the trial court committed plain error when it informed defendant that if he chose to testify at trial he would have to do so by answering the questions put to him by counsel; 4. whether the trial court's refusal to strike juror for cause was an abuse of discretion; 5. whether trial court determination that the probative value of defendant's sex tapes and still photographs from the tapes was not outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice was an abuse of discretion; and
14	Deck, Carman	DOB: 08/09/1965 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Jefferson * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victim: White/White * Gender of Victim: 1 Male/ 1 Female * Date of crime: 07/08/1996 * Date of Sentencing: 04/27/1998	Current Proceedings: Rule 29.15 PCR	Jean Marie Willibey	State v. Deck, 994 S.W.2d 527 (Mo. banc, cert. denied, 528 U.S. 1009 (1999)); Deck v. State, 68 S.W.3d 418 (Mo. banc 2002) (reversing in part the denial of post-conviction relief); State v. Deck, 136 S.W.3d 481 (Mo. banc, cert. granted, 543 U.S. 942 (2004)); Deck v. Missouri, 544 U.S. 622 (2005) (reversing and remanding); State v. Deck, 303 S.W.3d 527 (Mo. banc 2010) (appeal after new sentencing hearing).	As to first death sentence (reversed in post-conviction proceedings): 1. The sufficiency under Batson of State's proffered race-neutral reasons for striking minority jurors but not similarly-situated white jurors 2. The excessive emotional outbursts during victim impact testimony 3. The failure to instruct jury that mitigating circumstances did not have to unanimously found to exist As to second death sentence (reversed on appeal to SCOTUS): 1. whether due process was violated by trial court's requirement that Mr. Deck appear in restraints throughout the proceedings As to third death sentence (on appeal to MO Supreme Court): 1. whether trial court was statutorily required to impose sentences of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole;
15	Dorsey, Brian	DOB: 10/30/1984 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Cole * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victim: White/White * Gender of Victim: 1 Male/ 1 Female * Date of crime: 12/23/2006 * Date of Sentencing: 11/18/2008	Current Proceedings: Affirmed on direct appeal; Filed motion for rehearing on 7/28/2010	Janet Thompson	State v. Dorsey, 2010 WL 2796540 (Mo. banc July 16, 2010).	On direct appeal: 1. whether trial court erred in submitting jury instructions regarding jury's consideration of evidence 2. whether trial court erred in submitting jury instructions regarding weighing step for evidence' 3. whether statutory aggravating circumstances were duplicative; 4. whether finding of statutory aggravating circumstance was supported by sufficient evidence; 5. whether prosecutor's statements were plain error; 6. whether crime scene photographs were admissible; and 7. whether death penalty was excessive
16	Edwards, Kimber	DOB: 03/29/1964 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: Black * Gender of Victim: Female * Date of crime: 08/22/2000 * Date of Sentencing: 06/27/2002	Current Proceedings: Filed Rule 59(e) motion in E.D. Mo. on 10/08/2009	Jeremy Weis Kent Gipson	State v. Edwards, 116 S.W.3d 511 (Mo. banc 2003), cert. denied, 540 U.S. 1186 (2004); Edwards v. State, 200 S.W.3d 500 (Mo. banc 2006), cert. denied, 549 U.S. 1255 (2007); Edwards v. Roper, 2009 WL 3164112 (E.D. Mo. Sept. 28, 2009).	In habeas: 1. whether evidence that codefendant was sentenced to life imprisonment was mitigating evidence that defendant had a federal constitutional right to present in penalty phase; 2. whether record supported motion court's conclusion that defense counsel adequately investigated defendant's childhood for mitigation evidence; 3. whether defense counsel made reasonable strategic decision in penalty phase to not present certain evidence on defendant's childhood; 4. whether defense counsel rendered ineffective assistance in penalty phase by not calling an expert who could testify about defendant's alleged mental problems; 5. whether defense counsel reasonably abandoned any possibility of a mental-disease defense or mitigation evidence; and 6. whether disqualification of motion judge was required due to his comments about public defender's

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
17 Ferguson, Jeffrey	DOB: 01/24/1955 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: Female * Date of crime: 02/09/1989 * Date of Sentencing: 11/06/1992 (first); 12/08/1995 (second)	Current Proceedings: Post Certiorari	John Simon Jennifer Herndon	State v. Ferguson, 887 S.W.2d 585 (Mo. banc 1994) (reversing and remanding); State v. Ferguson, 20 S.W.3d 485 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 531 U.S. 1019 (2000); Ferguson v. Roper, 400 F.3d 635 (8th Cir. 2005), cert. denied, 546 U.S. 1098 (2006).	1. Extent of voir dire permitted by trial court regarding jurors' willingness to consider mitigating evidence 2. Whether choosing victim at random satisfied the "depravity of mind" aggravating circumstance 3. Objectivity of circuit judge during trial and 29.15 proceedings 4. Counsel's effectiveness in failing to demonstrate primary culpability of Ferguson's co-defendant 5. Brady and actual innocence claim regarding discrediting of former FBI agent who conducted hair and fiber analysis in the case
18 Forrest, Il, Earl	DOB: 07/12/1949 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Platte * Number of counts: Three * Race of Victim: white/white/white * Gender of Victim: 2 Female/ 1 Male * Date of crime: 12/09/2002 * Date of Sentencing: 12/22/2004	Current Proceedings: Habeas Petition in W.D. Mo.	Kent Gipson Lance Sandage	State v. Forrest, 183 S.W.3d 218 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 549 U.S. 840 (2006); Forrest v. State, 290 S.W.3d 704 (Mo. banc 2009).	In postconviction proceedings: 1. whether trial counsel's failure to obtain a Positron Emission Tomography (PET) scan of defendant's brain was IAC; 2. whether counsel's alleged failure to investigate and present available mitigating evidence of defendant's medical records was IAC 3. whether counsel's alleged failure to investigate and present mitigating evidence from defendant's friend, neighbor, and employer was IAC; 4. whether counsel's failure to object to admission of evidence showing that defendant was in possession of a knife was IAC; 5. whether counsel's failure to object to the admission of evidence of defendant's prior convictions was IAC; 6. whether counsel's failure to object to State's voir dire questions about returning a death sentence in open court was IAC; and 7. whether defendant was entitled to hearing on claim that Supreme Court did not conduct appropriate proportionality review of death sentence on direct appeal.
19 Franklin, Joseph	DOB: 04/13/1950 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: Male * Date of crime: 10/08/1977 * Date of Sentencing: 02/27/1997	Current Proceedings: Post certiorari	Jennifer Herndon	State v. Franklin, 969 S.W.2d 743 (Mo. banc 1998); Franklin v. State, 24 S.W.3d 686 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 531 U.S. 951 (2000); Franklin v. Luebbers, 494 F.3d 744 (8th Cir. 2007), cert. denied, 128 S.Ct. 2501 (2008).	On appeal to 8th circuit: 1. Whether defendant's voluntary waiver of his direct appeal of his capital conviction and death sentence resulted in procedural default and barred, under the independent and adequate state ground doctrine, federal habeas review of defendant's habeas claims. * His claims included challenges to the voluntariness of his waiver of trial counsel and to the trial court's omission of penalty-phase jury instructions
20 Gill, Mark Anthony	DOB: 11/15/1970 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: New Madrid * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: Male * Date of crime: 07/07/2002 * Date of Sentencing: 04/04/2004	Current Proceedings: Remanded for new penalty phase; cert filed May 24, 2010	William Swift	State v. Gill, 167 S.W.3d 184 (Mo. banc 2005), cert. denied, 546 U.S. 1140 (2006); Gill v. State, 300 S.W.3d 225 (Mo. banc 2009) (reversing in part the denial of post-conviction relief and remanded for a new penalty phase due to IAC).	In postconviction proceedings: 1. whether state committed Brady violation with its pretrial disclosure of contents of victim's computer; and 2. whether trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance in failing to discover child pornography, bestiality content, and sexually explicit instant message conversations on victim's computer that could have been used at penalty phase to rebut state's evidence of victim's good character. Supreme Court affirmed in part and reversed in part and remanded for a new penalty phase.
21 Goodwin, Paul	DOB: 11/12/1966 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: Female * Date of crime: 03/01/1998 * Date of Sentencing: 12/02/1999	Current Proceedings: Appeal in the 8th cir.	Jennifer Herndon	State v. Goodwin, 43 S.W.3d 805 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 534 U.S. 903 (2001); Goodwin v. State, 191 S.W.3d 20 (Mo. banc 2006), cert. denied, 549 U.S. 1214 (2007); Goodwin v. Roper, 2009 WL 3228696 (E.D. Mo. Sept. 30 2009); Goodwin v. Roper, 2010 WL 2835568 (E.D. Mo. July 16, 2010) (denying reconsideration on a Rule 59(e) motion but vacating the part of its opinion that held that Goodwin's Brady claim was procedurally barred).	On habeas petition: 1. whether there was a Brady violation
22 Hutchison, Brandon	DOB: 12/16/1974 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Lawrence * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victim: White/White * Gender of Victim: Male/Male * Date of crime: 12/31/1995 * Date of Sentencing: 11/18/1996	Current Proceedings: Remanded for new penalty phase	No new filings in state court, Melinda Pendergraph, Missouri Public Defender	State v. Hutchison, 957 S.W.2d 757 (Mo. banc 1997); Hutchison v. State, 59 S.W.3d 494 (Mo. banc 2001) (reversing denial of post-conviction relief); Hutchison v. State, 150 S.W.3d 292 (Mo. banc 2004) (remanding for new penalty phase due to IAC), cert. denied, 546 U.S. 837 (2005).	1. Trial counsel was held ineffective for failing to discover and present evidence of Mr. limited intellectual functioning (including his IQ of 76), learning disabilities, bipolar disorder and alcoholism, history of sexual abuse as a child, and family history of alcoholism, substance abuse and mental illness; 2. State's failure to disclose plea agreement with key prosecutorial witness; 3. Trial court's exclusion of out-of-court statement given to police by another individual that he shot the two victims
23 Johnson, Ernest	DOB: 08/20/1960 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Boone * Number of counts: Three * Race of Victim: White/white/white * Gender of Victim: 2 Female / 1 Male * Date of crime: 02/12/1994 * Date of Sentencing: 06/20/1995	Current Proceedings: post-conviction complete; Habeas petition not yet filed	Elizabeth Carlyle	State v. Johnson, 968 S.W.2d 686 (Mo. banc 1998) (remanded for new penalty phase); State v. Johnson, 22 S.W.3d 183 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 531 U.S. 935 (2000); Johnson v. State, 102 S.W.3d 535 (Mo. banc 2003) (remanded for new penalty phase); State v. Johnson, 244 S.W.3d 144 (Mo. banc) (affirming death sentence), cert. denied, 129 S.Ct. 172 (2008).	On appeal after defendant was sentenced to death for the second time: (1) whether it was defendant's burden to prove that he was mentally retarded, for purposes of avoiding the death penalty; (2) whether the determination that defendant was not mentally retarded and thus that he could be put to death had to be resolved by the jury beyond a reasonable doubt; (3) whether the issue of defendant's mental retardation claim was for the jury; (4) if the issue of whether the aggravating factors outweighed the mitigating factors was for the jury; (5) whether the trial court abused its discretion in sustaining State's challenges for cause to veniremembers; (6) whether the trial court abused its discretion by admitting color photographs of crime scene and autopsies; and (7) proportionality of death sentence

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
24	Johnson, Johnny DOB: 03/16/1970 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: Female * Date of crime: 07/26/2002 * Date of Sentencing: 03/07/2005	Current Proceedings: post-conviction proceedings	Loyce Ann Rhodes Hamilton	State v. Johnson, 207 S.W.3d 24 (Mo. banc 2006), cert. denied, 550 U.S. 971 (2007).	
25	Johnson, Kevin DOB: 09/23/1985 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: Male * Date of crime: 07/05/2005 * Date of Sentencing: 02/01/2008	Current Proceedings: Direct appeal completed, but PCR hasn't been filed as of 09/2010	Deborah Wafer	State v. Johnson, 284 S.W.3d 561 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 130 S.Ct. 749 (2009).	On direct appeal: 1. whether trial court acted within its discretion in declining to grant a new trial based on a juror's failure to disclose in voir dire and at trial that she knew a state's witness; 2. whether the state's race-neutral reasons for using a peremptory strike against a prospective juror were pretextual; 3. whether evidence was sufficient to support a conviction for first-degree murder; 4. whether the trial court's refusal to give defendant's requested instructions on second-degree murder without sudden passion and voluntary manslaughter was erroneous and prejudicial; 5. whether evidence was sufficient to support findings of death-penalty aggravators; 6. whether the death sentence was not disproportional or excessive; and 7. whether the defendant waived his Miranda rights by orally agreeing to talk and engaging in a five-hour police interview.
26	Link, Martin DOB: 04/17/1963 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: Female * Date of crime: 01/11/1991 * Date of Sentencing: 10/17/1995	Current Proceedings: Post Certiorari	Christopher McGraugh	State v. Link, 1995 WL 17907187 (Mo. Cir. Ct. Oct. 13, 1995); State v. Link, 25 S.W.3d 136 (Mo. banc) (affirming judgment), cert. denied, 531 U.S. 1040 (2000); Link v. Luebbers, 469 F.3d 1197 (8th Cir. 2006) (affirming denial of habeas corpus), cert. denied, 552 U.S. 993 (2007).	On habeas appeal: 1. whether defense counsel's failure to obtain additional psychological testing constituted ineffective assistance of counsel; 2. whether defense counsel's failure to present mitigating evidence in the form of psychological testimony during the penalty phase deprived petitioner of effective assistance of counsel; 3. whether appellate counsel's failure to raise certain claims on appeal deprived petitioner of effective assistance of appellate counsel; and 4. whether the claim that counsel representing him in his state postconviction application was deficient was cognizable on habeas review, i.e., whether there is a federal constitutional right to effective assistance of postconviction counsel.
27	Mathenia, Charles DOB: 09/14/1958 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Jefferson * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victim: White/White * Gender of Victim: 2 Female * Date of crime: 04/24/1984 * Date of Sentencing: 01/09/1985	Current Proceedings: Post Certiorari	Sean O'Brien	State v. Mathenia, 702 S.W.2d 840 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 477 U.S. 909 (1986); Mathenia v. State, 752 S.W.2d 873 (Mo. App. Ct. 1988); Mathenia v. Delo, 975 F.2d 444 (8th Cir. 1992) (affirming denial of habeas corpus), cert. denied, 507 U.S. 995 (1993); Mathenia v. Delo, 99 F.3d 1476 (8th Cir. 1996) (affirming denial of motion to amend judgment), cert. denied, 521 U.S. 1123 (1997).	On habeas appeal: 1. whether defense counsel was ineffective for failing to file motion to suppress confession; 2. whether defense counsel was ineffective for failing to present diminished capacity defense; 3. whether statutory aggravating circumstance of outrageously or wantonly vile, horrible or inhuman in Missouri death penalty statute was facially vague in violation of Eighth Amendment; 4. whether the finding of torture was proper limiting construction of facially vague aggravating circumstance; and 5. whether defendant's mental retardation prevented him from having degree of culpability necessary to justify capital punishment. On appeal of denial of motion for relief and motion to amend the judgment: 1. whether the motion for relief from judgment was properly treated as second petition for habeas corpus; 2. whether petitioner showed cause for failure to present evidence with respect to mental capacity in the original proceeding
28	McFadden, Vincent DOB: 03/25/1980 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: Two Separate * Race of Victims: Black/Black * Gender of Victims: 1 Male / 1 Female * Date of crimes: 07/03/2002; 05/15/2003 * Date of Sentencing: 03/15/2005; 05/24/2006	Current Proceedings: On retrial McFadden was again convicted of 1st degree murder and sentenced to death; appeal is pending	Janet Thompson	State v. McFadden, 216 S.W.3d 673 (Mo. banc) (reversing judgment and remanding), cert. denied, 552 U.S. 942 (2007).	On direct appeal: 1. whether prosecutor's explanation for peremptorily striking African-American juror, which was based primarily on juror having "crazy-looking red hair," was pretextual, and 2. whether jury's consideration of defendant's prior invalid felony convictions and death sentence in unrelated case as aggravating factors supporting imposition of death penalty was prejudicial.
29	McLaughlin, Scott DOB: 01/13/1973 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: Female * Date of crime: 11/20/2003 * Date of Sentencing: 11/03/2006	Current Proceedings: Rule 29.15 PCR filed 4/15/09	Pete Carter	State v. McLaughlin, 265 S.W.3d 257 (Mo. banc 2008), cert. denied, 129 S.Ct. 1906 (2009).	On direct appeal: 1. whether the imposition of death sentence by trial court after jury deadlocked on final step of statutory death-penalty procedure violated defendant's Sixth Amendment right to trial by jury; 2. whether the defendant was entitled to his requested instruction for jury to determine whether evidence as a whole justified imprisonment for life or a sentence of death; 3. whether defendant was prejudiced by the trial court's refusal to instruct jury on second-degree felony murder; 4. whether evidence of victim's statements about defendant's conduct was admissible under doctrine of forfeiture by wrongdoing notwithstanding defendant's Confrontation Clause challenge; 5. whether the trial court's exclusion of evidence that defendant's brother was involved in disposal of victim's body was plain error at penalty phase; 6. whether the trial court's lack of any sua sponte corrective action regarding prosecutor's closing-argument comparison of jurors to soldiers was plain error resulting in manifest injustice; and 7. whether death sentence was not disproportionate.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
30 Middleton, John	DOB: 11/02/1959 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Adair (on transfer from Harrison) * Number of counts: One (first case); Two (second case) * Race of Victims: white/white/white * Gender of Victim: Male (first); Male/Female (second) * Date of crime: 06/23/1995 (first case); 06/11/1995 (second case) * Date of Sentencing: 04/24/2007 (first); 06/26/1998 (second)	Current Proceedings: Post Certiorari	John Simon	State v. Middleton, 995 S.W.2d 443 (Mo. banc, cert. denied, 528 U.S. 1054 (1999); Middleton v. State, 103 S.W.3d 726 (Mo. banc 2003) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Middleton v. Roper, 2005 WL 2298203 (E.D. Mo. Sept. 21, 2005) (denying habeas corpus); Middleton v. Roper, 498 F.3d 812 (8th Cir. 2007) (affirming), cert. denied, 553 U.S. 1009 (2008).	On habeas appeal: 1. whether denial of continuance did unreasonably applied petitioner's rights to fair trial and ineffective assistance under Supreme Court precedent; 2. whether giving the Missouri pattern instructions during penalty phase limited jury's consideration of mitigating evidence; 3. whether counsel's failure to object on basis of studies concerning jurors' understanding of penalty phase instructions constituted ineffective assistance; 4. whether the admission of gruesome photo and videotape unreasonably applied fair trial rights under Supreme Court precedent; and 5. whether the decision on proportionality review under state statute violated Supreme Court precedent.
31 Nicklasson, Allen	DOB: 07/25/1972 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Lafayette * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: Male * Date of crime: 09/24/1998 * Date of Sentencing: 06/28/1996	Current Proceedings: Post certiorari	Jennifer Herndon	State v. Nicklasson, 967 S.W.2d 596 (Mo. banc, cert. denied, 525 U.S. 1021 (1998); Nicklasson v. State, 105 S.W.3d 482 (Mo. banc 2003) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Nicklasson v. Roper, 2005 WL 1005126 (E.D. Mo. April 26, 2005) (denying habeas corpus); Nicklasson v. Roper, 491 F.3d 830 (8th Cir. 2007) (affirming denial), cert. denied, 553 U.S. 1007 (2008).	On habeas appeal: (1) state supreme court's finding that death penalty qualification voir dire was sufficient, even if erroneous, was not objectively unreasonable; (2) challenge to jury instructions given to venire panels was procedurally barred; the state supreme court clearly and expressly stated that the claims lacked merit because the petitioner offered nothing for the court to review (3) defendant was not entitled to ask whether any juror had preconceived notions about mental health or psychology that amounted to a disqualifying bias against acceptance of related testimony; and (4) prosecutor's peremptory challenge to African-America venirepersons was not racially motivated in violation of Batson; although the prosecutor did not strike a Caucasian venireperson who answered "I believe so" to the question of whether she would automatically impose a life sentence if defendant were convicted, the African-American venireperson responded "probably" to the same question, indicating that she was more committed to the position than the Caucasian venireperson.
32 Nunley, Roderick	DOB: 03/10/1965 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Jackson * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: Female * Date of crime: 03/22/1989 * Date of Sentencing: 05/14/1991	Current Proceedings: Post certiorari	Jennifer Herndon Susan Hunt	State v. Nunley, 923 S.W.2d 911 (Mo. banc 1996) (affirming conviction and sentence, but remanding for additional findings and entry of a new judgment on the Rule 24.035 motion as to a number of claims), cert. denied, 519 U.S. 1094 (1997); State v. Nunley, 980 S.W.2d 290 (Mo. banc 1998), cert. denied, 526 U.S. 1100 (1999); Nunley v. Bowserox, 394 F.3d 1079 (8th Cir.) (affirming denial of habeas corpus), cert. denied, 546 U.S. 909 (2005).	On direct appeal (reversing in part and remanding): 1. whether defendant was entitled to withdraw his guilty plea due to fact that sentencing judge was not original trial judge; 2. whether defendant was prejudiced by fact that prosecution in his case argued that he had initiated idea to kill victim while it argued in codefendant's case that codefendant had initiated idea On habeas appeal: 1. whether Supreme Court finding in Ring v. Arizona applied retroactively on collateral review of death penalty case.
33 Pollard, Roosevelt	DOB: 03/13/1964 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Cole * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: Male * Date of crime: 12/12/1985 * Date of Sentencing: 01/17/1986	Current Proceedings: Execution stayed due to incompetence, but may be re-evaluated in mental condition improves		State v. Pollard, 735 S.W.2d 345 (Mo. banc 1987), cert. denied, 484 U.S. 1020 (1988); Pollard v. State, 807 S.W.2d 498 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 502 U.S. 943 (1991); Pollard v. Delo, 28 F.3d 887 (8th Cir.), cert. denied, 513 U.S. 1003 (1994).	On habeas appeal: 1. whether petitioner has a right to effective post-conviction counsel 2. whether petitioner's claim, that trial counsel failed to provide effective assistance by failing to request instruction concerning petitioner's age, was procedurally barred, given that Missouri Supreme Court found that that issue was procedurally barred under state law because it was not raised in appeal on merits
34 Preston, Elroy	DOB: 12/30/1954 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: One capital count, 2 murders * Race of Victims: Black/Black * Gender of Victim: 1 Female / 1 Male * Date of crime: 09/20/1980 * Date of Sentencing: 07/02/1982	Current Proceedings: Post Certiorari	Norman London Janice Good	State v. Preston, 673 S.W.2d 1 (Mo. banc, cert. denied, 469 U.S. 893 (1984); Preston v. Delo, 100 F.3d 596 (8th Cir. 1996) (affirming denial of habeas corpus), cert. denied, 522 U.S. 943 (1997).	On habeas appeal: (1) whether the Missouri Supreme Court's summary denial of state habeas petition, based on Missouri's procedural rules, precluded consideration of the claims in federal habeas corpus proceeding
35 Ringo, Earl	DOB: 11/29/1973 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Boone * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: 1 Female / 1 Male * Date of crime: 07/04/1998 * Date of Sentencing: 07/26/1999	Current Proceedings: Post certiorari	John Simon Cheryl Pilate	State v. Ringo, 30 S.W.3d 811 (Mo. banc 2000), cert. denied, 532 U.S. 932 (2001); Ringo v. State, 120 S.W.3d 743 (Mo. banc 2003); Ringo v. Roper, 2005 WL 2017439 (W.D. Mo. Aug. 22, 2005); Ringo v. Roper, 472 F.3d 1001 (8th Cir.), cert. denied, 552 U.S. 977 (2007).	On habeas appeal: 1. whether state court determination that counsel for capital murder defendant did not provide ineffective assistance by failing to investigate and present testimony that defendant suffered from post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) involved an unreasonable application of the Strickland standard, and thus precluded grant of federal habeas corpus relief under the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act (AEDPA). 2. whether the denial of capital murder defendant's discovery request for racial and gender composition of grand jury that indicted him precluded defendant from claiming that grand jury pool did not reflect a fair cross-section of community

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
36	Rousan, William	DOB: 07/17/1956 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Francois (Washington) * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victims: White/White * Gender of Victim: 1 Female / 1 Male * Date of crime: 09/21/1993 * Date of Sentencing: 12/20/1996	Current Proceedings: Post certiorari	Eric Butts	State v. Rousan, 961 S.W.2d 831 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 524 U.S. 961 (1998); Rousan v. State, 48 S.W.3d 576 (Mo. banc 2001); Rousan v. Roper, 436 F.3d 951 (8th Cir.), cert. denied, 549 U.S. 835 (2006).	On habeas appeal: 1. whether the court violated petitioner's constitutional rights in striking three potential jurors for cause in capital case despite their isolated statements that they could put aside their personal reservations about imposing death sentence; 2. whether evidence supported the finding that petitioner deliberated in the killing of victim; 3. whether prosecutor's penalty-phase rebuttal closing argument, that jury would show weakness if it granted petitioner's request for mercy, rendered capital trial fundamentally unfair; 4. whether inconsistent wording in invalid "depravity of mind" aggravating circumstance prejudicially confused the jury's death-penalty deliberations and rendered death sentence unconstitutional; and 5. whether the refusal to list petitioner's proffered specific non-statutory mitigating circumstances in the jury instructions was contrary to, and an unreasonable application of, clearly established federal law.
37	Shockley, Lance	DOB: 01/19/1977 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Carter * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victim: Male * Date of crime: 03/20/2005 * Date of Sentencing: 05/22/2009	Current Proceedings: On direct appeal	Michael Gross		
38	Smulls, Herbert	DOB: 11/28/1957 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victim: Male * Date of crime: 07/27/1991 * Date of Sentencing: 12/21/1992	Current Proceedings: Post certiorari	Cheryl Pilate Charles Roger Jeremy Weis	State v. Smulls, 1992 WL 12622408 (Mo. Cir. Ct. Dec. 18, 1992); State v. Smulls, 935 S.W.2d 9 (Mo. banc 1996), cert. denied, 520 U.S. 1254 (1997); Smulls v. State, 10 S.W.3d 497 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 531 U.S. 881 (2000); Smulls v. State, 71 S.W.3d 138 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 537 U.S. 1009 (2002); Smulls v. Roper, 467 F.3d 1108 (8th Cir. 2006); Smulls v. Roper, 535 F.3d 853 (8th Cir. 2008), cert. denied, 129 S.Ct. 1905 (2009).	On habeas appeal: 1. the sufficiency of prosecution's asserted race- and gender-neutral reasons for peremptory strikes under Batson 2. whether state court's failure to make explicit fact findings following a Batson challenge amounted to an unreasonable application of clearly established federal law
39	Storey, Walter	DOB: 02/20/1967 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Charles * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victim: Female * Date of crime: 02/02/1990 * Date of Sentencing: 11/26/1991	Current Proceedings: Cert pending	Jennifer Herndon Kevin Schreiner	State v. Storey, 1991 WL 11724719 (Mo. Cir. Ct. Nov. 26, 1991); State v. Storey, 901 S.W.2d 886 (Mo. banc 1995) (reversing in part and remanding); State v. Storey, 986 S.W.2d 462 (Mo. banc) (reversing death sentence and remanding), cert. denied, 528 U.S. 895 (1999); State v. Storey, 1999 WL 35016793 (Mo. Cir. Ct. Dec. 17, 1999); State v. Storey, 40 S.W.3d 898 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 534 U.S. 921 (2001); Storey v. State, 175 S.W.3d 116 (Mo. banc 2005) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Storey v. Roper, 2008 WL 2518551 (E.D. Mo. June 16, 2008); Storey v. Roper, 603 F.3d 507 (8th Cir. 2010).	On habeas appeal: 1. whether petition was timely; 2. whether relief was warranted due to government's late introduction of victim character witnesses and exhibits; 3. whether victim impact exhibits were permissible evidence; 4. whether the testimony of victim character witnesses violated defendant's due process rights; 5. whether submission of aggravating factor to third penalty-phase jury violated double jeopardy; 6. whether petitioner procedurally defaulted ineffective assistance claim concerning his intoxication on day of murder; and 7. whether counsel was ineffective for objecting to prosecutor's closing arguments.
40	Strong, Richard	DOB: 03/04/1967 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victims: Black/Black * Gender of Victim: Female / Female * Date of crime: 02/02/1990 * Date of Sentencing: 05/09/2003	Current Proceedings: Petition for Habeas Corpus filed in E.D. Mo. on 9/29/09	Michael Gorla Jennifer Herndon	State v. Strong, 142 S.W.3d 702 (Mo. banc 2004), cert. denied, 543 U.S. 1059 (2005); Strong v. State, 263 S.W.3d 636 (Mo. banc 2008), cert. denied, 129 S.Ct. 1670 (2009).	In post-conviction proceedings: 1. whether defendant was entitled to contact jurors to investigate claims of ineffective assistance of counsel and juror misconduct; 2. whether trial counsel's failure to raise religion-based Batson objections to the state's use of peremptory strikes against two prospective jurors amounted to a structural defect that would entitle defendant to a presumption of prejudice; 3. whether the record supported postconviction court's finding that trial counsel's choice of defense at guilt phase was a reasonable strategic decision; 4. whether trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by not objecting to certain hearsay testimony as violative of defendant's Sixth Amendment right of confrontation under Crawford and Davis; 5. whether defendant was entitled to postconviction relief based on his claim that trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance by not preserving an objection to prosecutor's use of a computerized slide show; 6. whether trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance at penalty phase by not calling one of defendant's friends to testify to defendant's allegedly poor childhood; 7. whether trial counsel's decision to not call experts to present mitigating evidence during penalty phase was reasonable; and 8. whether trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance at penalty phase by not presenting a videotaped statement that defendant made to police.
41	Taylor, Leon	DOB: 03/02/1958 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Jackson * Number of counts: One * Race of Victim: White * Gender of Victim: Male * Date of crime: 04/14/1994 * Date of Sentencing: 05/11/1995	Current Proceedings: Post Certiorari	Elizabeth Carlyle	State v. Taylor, 944 S.W.2d 925 (Mo. banc 1997) (remanding); State v. Taylor, 18 S.W.3d 366 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 531 U.S. 901 (2000); Taylor v. State, 126 S.W.3d 755 (Mo. banc 2004) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Taylor v. Roper, 2007 WL 62690 (W.D. Mo. Jan. 8, 2007); Taylor v. Roper, 577 F.3d 848 (8th Cir. 2009) (affirming denial of habeas corpus), cert. denied, 130 S.Ct. 3464 (2010).	On habeas appeal: 1. whether state courts' finding that guilt-phase peremptory strikes of prospective black jurors were not discriminatory was contrary to clear and convincing evidence and unreasonable; 2. whether state courts' finding that sentencing-phase peremptory strikes of prospective black jurors were not discriminatory was contrary to clear and convincing evidence and unreasonable; 3. whether state courts unreasonably applied Batson; and 4. whether state courts' finding that peremptory strikes of prospective alternate black jurors were not discriminatory was contrary to clear and convincing evidence and unreasonable.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
42	Taylor, Leonard DOB: 06/15/1964 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: Four * Race of Victims: Black * Gender of Victim: 3 Female / 1 Male * Date of crime: November 2004 * Date of Sentencing: 04/17/2008	Current Proceedings: post-conviction proceedings	Jeannie Marie Willibey	State v. Taylor, 2008 WL 7578630 (Mo. Cir. Ct. April 17, 2008); State v. Taylor, 298 S.W.3d 482 (Mo. banc 2009) (affirming judgment), cert. denied, 130 S.Ct. 3323 (2010).	On direct appeal: 1. whether testimony by victim's sister relating to statements by victim and victim's notations on her calendar were hearsay and inadmissible under hearsay exceptions; 2. whether carbon copy of "check" from victim's checkbook, dated the day after defendant left city, was hearsay and inadmissible under hearsay exceptions; 3. whether evidence that phenolphthalein test had positive result for possible presence of blood on defendant's sunglasses was relevant and admissible; 4. whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting DNA evidence on which testing was not performed until shortly before scheduled trial date; 5. whether trial court had good cause under Uniform Mandatory Disposition of Detainers Law to grant defense counsel's requests for continuances over defendant's objections; 6. whether continuances violated defendant's constitutional right to speedy trial; 7. whether trial court abused its discretion in striking prospective juror for cause; 8. whether the record supported a finding that passion, prejudice or arbitrary factors influenced the sentence; and 9. whether death sentence was not excessive or disproportionate.
43	Taylor, Michael A. DOB: 01/30/1967 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Jackson * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victim: Female * Date of crime: 03/22/1989 * Date of Sentencing: 05/14/1991	Current Proceedings: Post certiorari	John Simon Cheryl Pilate	State v. Taylor, 929 S.W.2d 209 (Mo. banc 1996), cert. denied, 519 U.S. 1152 (1997); Taylor v. Bowersox, 329 F.3d 963 (8th Cir. 2003) (affirming denial of habeas corpus), cert. denied, 541 U.S. 947 (2004); Taylor v. State, 254 S.W.3d 856 (Mo. banc 2008) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief), cert. denied, 129 S.Ct. 1037 (2009).	On habeas appeal: 1. Whether the denial of Taylor's motion to withdraw his guilty plea based upon the fact that his sentencing judge was not the same judge who accepted his plea was a violation of due process. 2. Whether Taylor procedurally defaulted his claim that guilty plea counsel rendered ineffective assistance, where he did not assert such a claim on his second Rule 29.15 motion, which followed his re-sentencing on his first (and successful) Rule 29.15 motion. On post-conviction proceedings after habeas denial: 1. whether postconviction counsel abandoned defendant, as grounds for re-opening otherwise final judgment, and 2. whether the doctrine of judicial estoppel applied to allow for consideration of procedurally defaulted claim of ineffective assistance of plea counsel during plea hearing.
44	Taylor, Michael D. DOB: 01/30/1967 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Charles * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: Black * Gender of Victim: Male * Date of crime: Oct. 1999 * Date of Sentencing: 03/06/2003	Current Proceedings: On remand for new penalty phase	William Swift	State v. Taylor, 134 S.W.3d 21 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 543 U.S. 928 (2004); Taylor v. State, 262 S.W.3d 231 (Mo. banc 2008) (reversing in part and remanding for new penalty phase), cert. denied, 129 S.Ct. 1671 (2009).	On appeal from denial of post-conviction relief: 1. whether the destruction of witness's letters to prosecutors which often solicited favors and state's memorandum about defendant's testimony were favorable impeachment evidence subject to state's due process obligations of disclosure under Brady; 2. whether the prosecution's decision to wait to see how witness testified in capital murder prosecution before he decided to write letter on behalf of witness was relevant impeachment evidence subject to Brady; 3. whether impeachment of witness in other respects affected materiality of suppressed evidence; 4. whether the state's failure to disclose the impeachment evidence prejudiced defendant in penalty and guilt phase; 5. whether counsel rendered ineffective assistance in guilt phase; 6. whether the failure to present available mitigating evidence was ineffective assistance in penalty phase; and 7. whether appellate attorney rendered ineffective assistance.
45	Tisius, Michael DOB: 02/16/1981 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: Boone (Randolph) * Number of counts: Two * Race of Victims: White/White * Gender of Victim: Male / Male * Date of crime: 06/22/2000 * Date of Sentencing: 10/01/2001	Current Proceedings: Remanded for new penalty phase	At the time of post-conviction proceedings: John Mermelstein	State v. Tisius, 92 S.W.3d 751 (2002), cert. denied, 539 U.S. 920 (2003); Tisius v. State, 183 S.W.3d 207 (Mo. banc) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief on guilt phase of trial), cert. denied, 549 U.S. 843 (2006).	Tisius filed a petition for post-conviction relief. The Circuit Court remanded the case for a new penalty phase and denied relief on the guilt phase claims. On appeal from denial of post-conviction relief: 1. whether counsel's failure to object to the prosecutor's suggestion that defendant, and not co-conspirator, stole gun from co-conspirator's mother prejudiced defendant; 2. whether counsel's failure to call a handwriting expert to authenticate letter allegedly written by defendant's former cellmate prejudiced defendant; 3. whether counsel's failure to object to instruction defining reasonable doubt constituted ineffective assistance; 4. whether counsel's failure to object to voir dire statement informing the jury that the county prosecutor had requested assistance from the attorney general because the county "has not had the misfortune of having that many murders so it is not something with which he comes into contact regularly" prejudiced defendant; 5. whether counsel's failure to object to the prosecutor's alleged "acquittal first" argument prejudiced defendant; and 6. whether counsel's failure to object to the prosecutor's argument that defendant's statement that he was sorry was "little consolation to [victims]. He said he was sorry after he was caught," constituted ineffective assistance of counsel.
46	Weaver, William DOB: 03/22/1962 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: Black * Gender of Victim: Male * Date of crime: 07/06/1987 * Date of Sentencing: 09/16/1988	Current proceedings: Still on death row?? Death sentence vacated? MEMORANDUM AND ORDER: by Honorable Charles A. Shaw - IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner Weaver's first amended petition for writ of habeas corpus is GRANTED as to the sentence of death only, based on portions of claim two ..., and DENIED in all other respects. Weaver's death penalty is vacated, and he must either be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole or must be given a new trial on the state's request for the death penalty. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that	Eric Butts	State v. Weaver, 912 S.W.2d 499 (Mo. banc 1995), cert. denied, 519 U.S. 856 (1996); Weaver v. Bowersox, 241 F.3d 1024 (8th Cir. 2001) (reversing grant of habeas corpus); Weaver v. Bowersox, 438 F.3d 832 (8th Cir.) (affirming grant of habeas corpus), cert. granted, 549 U.S. 1092, cert. denied, 549 U.S. 1098 (2006); Roper v. Weaver, 550 U.S. 598 (2007) (holding that it was error for district court to dismiss first petition as premature and dismissing the writ of certiorari as improvidently granted.)	On habeas appeal: 1. whether petitioner abandoned cross-appeal by failing to address procedural default issue; 2. whether petitioner's claims challenging prosecutor's statements during closing arguments in penalty phase of trial were subject to review under standards established by Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act (AEDPA); and 3. whether the state-court determination that prosecutor's challenged statements were reasonable constituted an unreasonable application of United States Supreme Court precedent, and warranted habeas relief. On appeal to SCOTUS: 1. whether petitioner's first habeas petition was premature because cert. had not yet been filed on MO Supreme's Court's decision denying post-conviction relief 2. whether AEDPA applied (first petition was pre-AEDPA, but was dismissed, and second petition was post-AEDPA)

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
47	Williams, Marcellus DOB: 12/30/1968 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victim: Female * Date of crime: 08/11/1998 * Date of Sentencing: 08/08/2001	Current Proceedings: Pending appeal in the 8th Cir.	Kent Gipson Laurence Komp	State v. Williams, 97 S.W.3d 462 (Mo. banc), cert. denied, 539 U.S. 944 (2003); Williams v. State, 168 S.W.3d 433 (Mo. banc 2005) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief).	On appeal from denial of post-conviction relief: 1. whether the record supported finding that State did not conceal the whereabouts or statements of two of its witnesses to extent that defendant defense counsel was prevented from adequately investigating their statements and credibility; 2. whether the State had an obligation to disclose medical and psychiatric records of two its witnesses to defendant for purposes of impeachment; 3. whether defendant was entitled to evidentiary hearing on claim that State manufactured evidence against defendant; 4. whether defendant was entitled to evidentiary hearing on claims of ineffective assistance of trial and appellate counsel; 5. whether the post-conviction court abused its discretion in overruling defendant's motion to compel
48	Winfield, John DOB: 06/15/1964 Race: Black Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Louis * Number of counts: Two (not counting 2 others injured) * Race of Victims: Black/White * Gender of Victim: Female / Female * Date of crime: 09/09/1996 * Date of Sentencing: 04/17/2008	Current Proceedings: Post Certiorari; specifically, Motion to Recall the Mandate, and, Alternatively, Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, pending in MO Supreme Court	Joe Luby	State v. Winfield, 5 S.W.3d 505 (Mo. banc 1999), cert. denied, 528 U.S. 1130 (2000); Winfield v. State, 93 S.W.3d 732 (Mo. banc 2002) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Winfield v. Roper, 2005 WL 6112420 (E.D. Mo. March 30, 2005) (denying habeas corpus relief); Winfield v. Roper, 460 F.3d 1026 (8th Cir. 2006) (affirming denial of habeas corpus), cert. denied, 550 U.S. 939 (2007); State ex rel. Winfield v. Roper, 292 S.W.3d 909 (Mo. banc 2009), cert. denied, 130 S.Ct. 2100 (2010).	On current Motion to Recall the Mandate: (1) whether Winfield's death sentence was disproportionate in comparison to all relevant similar cases, as Winfield's case is less aggravated than all other cases in which the MO Supreme Court has affirmed a death sentence for defendants convicted of multiple homicides, and because at least 30 defendants convicted of multiple homicides have been sentenced to life on facts more aggravated than those in Winfield's case (only aggravator in Winfield's case—homicide committed in the course of another homicide)
49	Worthington, Michael DOB: 01/30/1971 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Charles * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victim: Female * Date of crime: 09/29/1995 * Date of Sentencing: 01/04/1999	Current Proceedings: Appeal pending in 8th Circuit	Kent Gipson Gino Batisitti	State v. Worthington, 8 S.W.3d 83 (Mo. banc 1999), cert. denied, 529 U.S. 1116 (2000); Worthington v. State, 2003 WL 25279797 (Mo. Cir. Ct. Dec. 5, 2003); Worthington v. State, 166 S.W.3d 566 (Mo. banc 2005) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief); Worthington v. Roper, 619 F.Supp.2d 661 (E.D. Mo. 2009) (granting habeas corpus in part and denying in part).	On direct appeal: (1) The extent to which pecuniary gain and sexual assault-related aggravators meaningfully narrow the class of offenses for which the death penalty is available (2) Fifth Amendment claim regarding admission of incriminating statements made by defendant to psychologist, allegedly justified by defendant's putting his mental state "at issue" by requesting psychological evaluation to determine if he suffered from a mental disease or defect. In E.D. Mo. on habeas petition: 1. whether trial counsel was deficient in failing to investigate; and 2. whether trial counsel's failure to investigate prejudiced defendant; 3. whether trial counsel's failure to object to evidence of defendant's pre-trial confinement misconduct prejudiced defendant; and 4. whether the state's failure to disclose to defendant that its witness was previously convicted of a misdemeanor violated Brady.
50	Zink, David DOB: 08/07/1959 Race: White Gender: Male	* County of conviction: St. Clair * Number of counts: One * Race of Victims: White * Gender of Victim: Female * Date of crime: 07/12/2001 * Date of Sentencing: 09/09/2004	Current Proceedings: Habas petition pending in W.D. Mo.	Kent Gipson Shane Cantin	State v. Zink, 181 S.W.3d 66 (Mo. banc 2005), cert. denied, 547 U.S. 1135 (2006); Zink v. State, 278 S.W.3d 170 (Mo. banc 2009) (affirming denial of post-conviction relief).	In post-conviction proceedings: 1. whether results of a positron emission tomography (PET) scan of defendant's brain would have been inadmissible at the guilt phase of trial to confirm diagnoses of defendant's personality disorders in support of a defense of diminished capacity; 2. whether the defendant was prejudiced at the penalty phase of trial by defense counsel's decision to not obtain a PET scan of defendant's brain and call an expert to testify to its results; 3. whether defense counsel rendered ineffective assistance by not challenging defendant's capacity to stand trial; 4. whether defense counsel rendered ineffective assistance by not objecting to the sheriff's requirement that defendant wear a leg restraint under his pants during trial; 5. whether the emphasis made by the prosecutor during closing argument at the penalty phase of trial that people with difficult backgrounds could still grow into successful adults was permissible; and 6. whether defense counsel rendered ineffective assistance by not objecting to testimony from a medical examiner about an autopsy report and to related closing argument.